

The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) is an autonomous organization within the Union Minister of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. Established in 1911, it is the apex health research organization in the country. The mission of the ICMR is to promote better health in India through research. It provides stewardship, conducts and supports health research, generates knowledge and ensures its utilization and develops resources for health research in areas of national public health importance.

The ICMR has evolved over the years in line with the changing health research needs. The role of research and modern science in fighting disease and improving health is being increasingly re-emphasized. Links between research and disease control programmes are being better appreciated. As a result of spectacular advances in modern science an array of new and emerging technologies have opened the doors to promising areas of research. They have changed the way we interpret information. The health challenges are also changing. The demands on ICMR are increasing too. Recognizing the need for a closely defined and focused research strategy to meet the current and future challenges, the Union Ministry of Health & Family Welfare had constituted a Performance Appraisal Board in 2004, under chairmanship of Prof. K. Kasturirangan, Former Secretary, Department of Space & Chairman ISRO to review the ICMR.

This document provides the details of the appraisal and the recommendations made by the Board.




Indian Council of Medical Research

V. Ramalingaswami Bhawan,
Ansari Nagar, New Delhi-110029
Phone: 91-11-26588980, 26589794, 26588895
e-mail-icmrhqds@sansad.nic.in
website: www.icmr.nic.in

REPORT

Performance Appraisal Board Indian Council of Medical Research


September 2005



REPORT
Preformance Appraisal Board
2005



Indian Council of Medical Research
New Delhi



Published by:
Director General
Indian Council of Medical Research
New Delhi-100029

This document may be freely reproduced, reviewed, abstracted, or translated in part or in full for non-commercial purposes with due acknowledgement.


December, 2005

Conceptualization and cover designing:
Harpreet Singh, Iqbal Kaur, Rakesh C. Gupta, ICMR, New Delhi

Layout design and Production Control:
J.N. Mathur, Press Manager, ICMR, New Delhi

Lasertypeset by:
Macrocom Enterprises, 22 Vasant Apartments, New Delhi-110057

Printed at:
Royal Offset Printers A-89/1, Naraina Industrial Area, Phase-I, New Delhi-110028



Dr. N.K. Ganguly
Director General, ICMR



PREFACE

After 1984 a formal appraisal of the Indian Council of Medical Research has now taken place in 2005. The Ministry of Health & family welfare constituted the performance appraisal Board (PAB) and provided the Terms of Reference. The 5-member Board had an extraordinarily brilliant blend of international and national luminaries.

Leading from the front was Dr K Kasturirangan, the Chairman, who brought a rare mix of qualities of a highly decorated space scientist, a parliamentarian, an able administrator and a visionary. Dr Gerald Keusch and Mr Louis Currat lent an international perspective on health research especially in developing countries, growing global concern on the mismatch between disease burden and resource allocation, functioning of medical research councils, priority setting and economics of health research, applied and operational research. Prof Asis Datta and Prof G Padmanabhan provided the academic flavour and the inputs on basic and fundamental biomedical research, they enriched the Board through their matured administrative and financial acumen having headed large institutions.


Each member of the Board sifted through large amounts of information contained in documents and other publications along with voluminous reports especially prepared for the Appraisal Board on intra and extramural research programme. They spent long hours interacting not only with the ICMR scientists and staff but also with a cross section of former and current scientists/ research administrators who are or have been associated with the ICMR in one or more ways. They provided them independent opinions and views.

The Board members gained first hand experience by visiting several Institutes of the ICMR, they met the scientific, technical and administrative staff, saw the infrastructure and the working conditions. This report is the outcome of the frank and candid discussions; first hand information and knowledge, their perceptions and impressions, their concerns and vision.

The stupendous task of appraising the ICMR could not have been successfully concluded without the inputs support and co-operation of every Director/ Officer-in-charge of the Institutes/Centres and their scientists particularly Dr V Kumaraswamy and Dr S P Pani; and Head of Technical Divisions/Units/Cells including Finance and Administration and scientists at the Headquarters office specifically Drs Rashmi Arora, Ambujam Nair Kapoor, Anju Sharma, Reeta Rasaily, Geeta Jotwani and Prashant Mathur, Mr Rakesh Gupta, Mr Harpreet Singh and Ms Iqbal Kaur.

This Appraisal was planned, coordinated and steered by Dr Lalit Kant at the Headquarters office, who helped to prepare the various background documents for the Appraisal and worked behind the scenes for more than a year till the finalization of this report.

The recommendations of this Appraisal would be beacons to guide and direct the Council's plan and strategies so that it is able to play a more meaningful and constructive role in finding solutions to India health concerns.



(N.K. Ganguly)

THE REPORT



Prof. K. Kasturirangan, Chairman, alongwith Prof. A. Datta, Member (left) ICMR's Performance Appraisal Board, presenting the Report to Dr A. Ramadoss, Hon'ble Minister of Health & Family Welfare, in presence of Shri P. Hota, Secretary (Health) (right) and Prof. N.K. Ganguly Director General ICMR.

NOTE OF SUBMISSION

We are privileged to submit the report of the Performance Appraisal Board of the Indian Council of Medical Research, constituted by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.

Global health research is at a cross road to address the epidemiologic transition spreading to all countries and effectively use the new technologies and benefit from economic liberalization. The Indian Council of Medical Research has made significant contributions to the global health research output, in spite of its limited financial resources, infrastructure and personnel. We are impressed by the tremendous progress and resurgence of the ICMR during the last 5-7 years. It is our view that this appraisal has come at a time when ICMR is at a turning point, and able to take best advantage of these unprecedented developments. We are impressed with the openness and transparency of ICMR in making all resources available, the careful preparation by all staff to explain the mission and performance, the hard work, all of which we could clearly see was the direct response to the vision and commitment of the Director General. The speedy implementation of our recommendations would substantially increase the ability of the Council to make major contributions to health nationally and globally.



(Louis Currat)
Member



(K. Kasturirangan)
Chairman



(Asis Datta)
Member



(Gerald Keusch)
Member



(G. Padmanaban)
Member



*Members of Performance Appraisal Board meeting at the
ICMR Headquarters, New Delhi*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, which provided us this opportunity to appraise Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). We thank Hon'ble Dr.Anbumani Ramdoss, Minister of Health & Family Welfare for sharing his thoughts about future of ICMR. We appreciate the time given to the Board members by Shri P.Hota, Secretary, Health & Family Welfare and Prof. M.K. Bhan, Secretary, Department of Biotechnology, Government of India.

During the course of this appraisal we benefited from the discussion we had with a cross-section of individuals who are or have been connected with ICMR in various capacities. We thank each one of them. We are indebted to Dr. S.Sriramachari, former Additional DG, and Member Secretary of the 1984 ICMR Review Committee, Prof. Indira Nath, Prof. V.I. Mathan, and Lt.Gen. D. Raghunath who gave us valuable insights.

The appraisal was greatly facilitated by the inputs provided by Prof. N.K.Ganguly, Director General, ICMR and his colleagues at the Headquarters', Directors and other staff of all Institutes of the ICMR. The openness and transparency of their interaction with us was matched by the excellence of their scientific work.

CONTENTS

Preface	i
Note of Submission	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Executive Summary	1
Preamble	5
Appraisal	9
Recommendations	49
Annexures	
Annexure-1 : Letter from Ministry of Health for the constitution of the Committee to evaluate the functioning of ICMR	61
Annexure-2 : Letter from Ministry of Health on the Terms of Reference for Peer Review of ICMR	63
Annexure-3 : Format for Appraisal document – Intramural and Extramural	65
Annexure-4 : Presentation schedule (8-10 September, 2005)	77
Annexure-5 : Visits made by the Board Members	81
Annexure-6 : Persons met by the Board Members	83
Annexure-7 : List of documents consulted/reviewed	89
Annexure-8 : Action Taken Report on the recommendations made by the Reviewing Committee, 1984	91
Annexure-9 : Profile of Members of Performance Appraisal Board	97

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Executive Summary

The present review of the ICMR performance and the recommendations of the Appraisal Board are both timely and appropriate at this particular time, particularly in the context of the international recognition of health and health research as key factors in economic growth and the fight against poverty, particularly in the developing world. The need for increased investment in research is documented by the work of the 2001 WHO Commission on Macroeconomics and Health and is additionally recognized by ongoing analyses conducted by the Indian National Commission on Macroeconomics and Health. ICMR has a critical and unique mission in India, which is to promote better health through research. The implementation of the Appraisal Board's recommendations will lead to the improvement of people's health, in particular the poor and most vulnerable populations, the future development of the Indian economy, and will increase the likelihood of achieving the Millennium Development Goals by 2015. In addition, it must be noted that in relation to the health needs of the country the public sector investment in health care and in health research in India is grossly inadequate.

The overall scientific performance of the ICMR is of generally high quality, and in the past 5-7 years it has made significant progress in the scope and quality of its research output, utilizing the modest increases in the ICMR budget and mobilizing additional resources from public-private and international partnerships. However, ICMR cannot reach its full potential or sufficiently generate the evidence needed to improve people's health, particularly that of the poor and most vulnerable segments of society, without change with respect to its authority and autonomy, financial support, staffing, and restructuring. The ICMR is well positioned to take on these responsibilities, as the agency has demonstrated its capacity to efficiently utilize additional financial resources to increase its research output.

In order to insure that ICMR can play its expected key role in achieving its mission the Performance Appraisal Board makes the following recommendations for immediate adoption and implementation.

1. A new pattern of governance for health research is unanimously and strongly recommended. This would provide necessary functional autonomy to the ICMR. This new structure envisages a Commission on Health Research, a Department of Health Research within the Ministry of Health, under which the ICMR would carry out the research functions of the Department. The suggested structure is similar to the governance of some of the high performing scientific departments of the Government of such as the space and Atomic Energy, and is already of demonstrated value for these Departments.
2. A significant impact of health research on health and human development becomes possible when at least 2 % of the total health expenditure of the country is devoted to research. Recognizing that the current expenditure on health care by the country falls far short of the ideal, the Appraisal Board believes that the allocation to ICMR for the 11th Plan period (2007-2012) should be at least Rs.5000 crores.
3. It is essential to reorganize the management structure both at Headquarters and in the Institutes to overcome current inefficiencies. The management of the proposed Department of Medical Research and its functional arm-ICMR, should be responsive to and supportive of research and scientific demands. At the same time it should ensure firm control, be efficient and cost effective.
- 4.. A clearly enunciated human resource development policy and plan is essential to enable the ICMR to take its role to fruition. Several specific recommendations in this regard are made, with respect to staffing, salaries and retention.
5. The available human resources are inadequate to carry out the ICMR's current mission, not to mention undertaking newer initiatives. The freeze on creation of new posts and recruitment should be immediately removed and the ICMR must be enabled to recruit up to 500 scientists in the next 5 years, linked to a clear development plan.
6. Modernization of the physical plant and infrastructure is necessary at most ICMR institutions and at Headquarters. An immediate one time modernization grant of Rs.300 crores should be made available to ICMR for this purpose.

7. A medium term (5 year) and a long term (10 year) plan for health research should be developed for the entire country in consultation with all governmental agencies and others who provide funds for health research. A National Health Research Management Forum chaired by the Minister of Health, Secretary-DG ICMR together with the Secretaries of Health, DST, DBT, CSIR, AYUSH, Director General of Health Services, one representative each of private sector and industry, and not more than three eminent health scientists from the country should be established, to develop these plans and continue to encourage and monitor inter-agency coordination.
8. Develop medium and long term strategic plans specifically for ICMR (consistent with the overall country plan) integrating the plans developed by each of the Institutes for intramural as well as extramural activities and, importantly, involve the public and private health care sector.
9. Further development of national and international research networks, including other national research agencies and academic institutions, is essential to maximize synergies, strengthen inter-agency coordination and develop efficient ways to improve the health research system.
10. ICMR should develop and adopt appropriate performance indicators essential to capture the societal impact of its research activities.

In addition, the Board also identified several Institute-or-region-specific issues and made specific recommendations, including for the Regional Medical Research Centres, which are detailed the report.

The above summary recommendations are elaborated in greater detail along with suggested strategies to achieve the targets in the Recommendation Section of the Report. These details are an essential part of the complete recommendations which should be implemented expeditiously.

Preamble

Performance Appraisal Board (PAB) was constituted by the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare on the recommendation of the Expenditure Finance Committee chaired by Secretary (Expenditure) while approving the 10th Plan proposal of the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). The PAB was set up to review ICMR's research activities, capacity, skills and infrastructure to meet the challenges of changing health concerns in India. The composition of the Board and the Terms of Reference are annexed (Annexures-1 and 2). There have been earlier similar reviews in 1964 and 1984.

The process for appraisal was set in motion with a meeting between the Chairman of PAB, and one member (G. Padmanabhan) at Bangalore with DG, ICMR on 16th July, 2005. The Chairman was consulted on the formats of proforma for collection of data for intramural and extramural research programmes. Copies of final proforma are at Annexure-3. The intramural format was mailed to the Directors of all Institutes/Centres, while the format for extramural research programme was circulated amongst the Technical Divisions at the Headquarters Office. The members were provided with an electronic version of the all the completed proforma as well as the annual reports of the Institutes along with an overview of the ICMR.

The PAB assembled at New Delhi on 8-10 September, 2005 to interact with the Director-General, Directors of Institutes, Chiefs and staff of Technical Divisions, Administration and Finance at the Headquarters. The Board discussed the Terms of References and included a critical review of the adequacy of the budget and the management and financial administration of ICMR as part of their mandate. Detailed presentations about the ICMR and its activities were made. The schedule of presentations made to the Board is at Annexure-4. Between 11th and 15th September, the PAB members visited various Institutes to assess the infrastructure, meet with various categories of staff and review the scientific activities. The visits to various Institutes had started even before the formal meeting of the Board by some of the members. The schedule of visits is at Annexure-5.

The PAB met the Minister of Health & Family Welfare, Secretary and other officials of the Ministry of Health; Secretary, Department of Biotechnology; Heads of Medical Colleges, Universities and other institutions; biomedical research scientists; National Health Programme Managers; Member-Secretary of ICMR Reviewing Committee 1984; Chairpersons of ICMR Scientific Advisory Groups and Committees; Science/Health attaché of foreign missions etc. (Annexure 6). A large number of reports, and other documents were provided to the PAB by the ICMR (Annexure-7).

The members re-assembled at the ICMR Headquarters on 16-17 September for a wrap-up session and report preparation. The detailed report of the appraisal is organized according to the Terms of Reference. In each section a summary of the presentation by ICMR is followed by the Board's observations and comments leading to the recommendations in the final chapter.

The PAB was impressed by the valuable and significant scientific contributions of the ICMR over the years and, in particular, the quantum change in the infrastructure and technologies available during the last 5-7 years. This has enabled ICMR to begin to effectively address the myriad challenges in the field. The PAB is strongly convinced that the ICMR is now poised to make an even more critical input to Health and Development in India commensurate with the needs, if there is a significant restructuring of the ICMR governance and administration, human resource development and a realistic budget. This is essential to enable ICMR to effectively contribute to improved health in India and help to achieve the Millennium Development Goals.

Background

The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) is one of the oldest medical research councils in the world. Ninety four years ago, in 1911, a handful of officers of the then Indian Medical Services with vision and foresight successfully created the Indian Research Fund Association (IRFA). In 1950, soon after India's independence, the IRFA was re-named the Indian Council of Medical Research in pursuance of the recommendations of the Health Survey and Development Committee, headed by Sir Joseph Bhore. What started in a 3-room office with a skeletal staff of 5 people at the Headquarters has today blossomed into a vibrant network of 26 Institutes and over 70 field stations in various

parts of the country, employing over 5000 personnel including 500 at its Headquarters. Its annual budget has grown over the years from Rs.0.05 crores to the current level of Rs.231.0 crores.

The ICMR has evolved over the years in line with changing health research needs, effectively addressing the new challenges that have emerged. This remarkable change has been more in the last 5-7 years. The current strategy has close interaction with health systems, including disease control programmes, which is supported by the continued exploitation of scientific and technological advances from basic to applied research, from biomedical to health sciences, and from laboratory to field research.

The Mission of the ICMR is to promote better health in India through research. It provides stewardship, conducts and supports health research, generates knowledge and ensures its utilization, and develops resources for health research in areas of national public health importance.

Appraisal of ICMR based on Terms of Reference (TOR)

TOR Nos.: 1, 3, 4, 10

1. *To appraise the performance of the ICMR's intramural and extramural research programmes in light of the vision/ mission/ mandate, resources (human resource, infrastructure, and financial) and review mechanisms to review whether ICMR as an Institution is relevant and performing optimally in relation to its core functions.*
3. *To assess how far successful has the ICMR (and its Institutes) been in addressing the changing health contexts in India.*
4. *To examine how ICMR research has contributed to:*
 - a. *Knowledge generation and closing the 'knowledge-do' gap.*
 - b. *Development Impact of evidence based ICMR knowledge on policy formulations and implementations.*
 - c. *Innovation and intellectual property.*
 - d. *Information and knowledge management.*
 - e. *Improving communication – branding and image.*
 - f. *Fostering partnerships and increasing external funding*
10. *To review how ICMR measures its progress and benchmarks itself.*

The PAB was privileged to have an overview of the scientific activities of ICMR over the last five years from the DG, followed by presentations by the Directors and Chiefs of Divisions. The wealth of information on ICMR's scientific contributions is difficult to present *in toto* and the following summary only lists some highlights and critical issues.

Strategy

Two broad lines of research endeavour have been successfully pursued by the ICMR:

- (a) application of available knowledge, under the prevailing socio-economic and cultural environment, through Health Systems Research involving interdisciplinary efforts between biomedical, social and behavioural sciences with epidemiology acting as a bridge;
- (b) application of the powerful tools of modern biology to identify etiology, basic mechanisms of disease, risk factors, and development of diagnostics and new therapeutic agents including vaccines.

The Council has adopted a twin track approach to meet its objectives; intramural (through Institutes totally funded by ICMR) and extramural research (through grants-in-aid given to projects in non-ICMR institutions).

The permanent Institutes are mission-oriented laboratories located in different parts of India. They address research on specific health topics of relevance to the country, such as tuberculosis, leprosy, cholera and diarrhoeal diseases, viral diseases, AIDS, malaria, kala-azar, vector control, nutrition, food and drug toxicology, reproductive health, immunohaematology, oncology, epidemiology, bio-statistics, occupational health etc. The Regional Medical Research Centres focus especially on regional health problems, and also aim to strengthen and generate research capabilities in different geographic and less well served areas of the country.

Extramural research is promoted by ICMR basically to strengthen the biomedical expertise outside the Council, especially in Medical Colleges and the University system. This is a very important contribution that the Council is making in developing and fostering a culture of research in academia. This is achieved through

- (a) Setting up Centres for Advanced Research in chosen research areas around existing expertise and infrastructure in selected

departments of Medical Colleges, Universities and other non-ICMR institutions;

- (b) Task Force studies which emphasize a time-bound, goal-oriented approach with clearly defined targets, specific time frames, standardized and uniform methodologies, and often a multi-centric structure;
- (c) Open-ended research on the basis of applications for grants-in-aid received from scientists in non-ICMR institutions located in various parts of the country.

The research priorities of the Council are in line with the national health policy and priorities. It works very closely with the national programmes which have well defined targets for control, elimination and eradication. The Council is actively engaged in various aspects of research for control of communicable diseases (HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria and others); non-communicable diseases (CVS, neurological disorders, metabolic diseases, cancers, injuries etc.); poor maternal and child health including nutritional disorders; visceral leishmaniasis, lymphatic filariasis, leprosy (targeted for elimination); and yaws and poliomyelitis (targeted for eradication).

Research priorities in the context of social obligations

The Council is aware of its social obligations and its research is guided by societal health needs, as it is funded by the Indian tax payer. The majority of the research activities of the Council are directed towards diseases that have significant links with poverty such as tuberculosis, leprosy, malaria, filariasis, visceral leishmaniasis, diarrhoeal diseases etc. It has a special focus on health of the marginalized and underprivileged sections of society. It is responsive to issues of equity, gender, ethnicity, race and caste. Regional Medical Research Centres have been established in the underserved and underdeveloped areas especially to investigate and find solutions to the health problems of the local populations. Funds are ear-marked for studies in the North-East areas of the country (10% of total budget) and for extramural projects to study the health condition and diseases which are prevalent amongst specific communities, such as the tribal populations.

Global Health priorities

ICMR's research priorities are also synchronous with global health research goals. The current portfolio of ICMR research addresses directly or indirectly the following Millennium Development Goals:

- Goal 1 - Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger
- Goal 4 - Reduce child mortality
- Goal 5 - Improve maternal health
- Goal 6 - Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis and other communicable diseases
- Goal 8 - Develop global partnership for development

ICMR's response to a changing health context

- The ICMR has responded to the changing health needs of the country by developing infrastructure (new Institutes like the ones on AIDS Research, Epidemiology; new facilities and equipment; animal houses, primate research and breeding facilities; communication and IT facilities; repositories - malaria, HIV, other viruses etc.), changing the mandate of existing Institutes (e.g. Central JALMA Research Institute for Leprosy to also study other mycobacterial diseases), organizing new training programmes (e.g. bio-ethics, IPR, bio-informatics, GIS, disease burden estimation, field epidemiology) and developing rapid response mechanisms.
- ICMR has provided critical research support whenever the health needs of the country demanded rapid responses e.g. SARS, HIV, influenza, and other new and emerging and re-emerging infections like dengue, and co-morbidities (HIV-TB) and the emerging epidemic of life-style diseases.
- Built capacities to meet newer challenges in health research and health care industry secondary to globalization. These have included establishment of cells to handle emerging health care industry issues such as TRIPS compliance, rapid responsiveness to the changing IPR environment and encouraging liberalization of regulatory and patent mechanisms.

- Played an important role in defining the rules that govern international collaborative research. The Council is one of the few research organizations in the world which has canonized its principles for the conduct of ethical bio-medical research.
- Supported traditional and other systems of medicine and successfully brought to the front the value of these systems and their validation using tools of modern medical research methods required for clinical trials in traditional medicine.

ICMR's Research findings that have influenced Public Health

Globally

1. Development of Pulse Polio Control strategy.
2. Establishing Domiciliary and Short Course Chemotherapy for tuberculosis.
3. Making the Multi Drug Therapy for leprosy more effective.

In India

Research conducted by ICMR has helped several disease control programmes. Some of which are:

- short course chemotherapy for TB, supervised chemotherapy - now called DOTS for TB.
- multi-drug therapy for leprosy.
- mass drug administration and morbidity management for filariasis.
- operationalization of oral re-hydration therapy (ORT) for diarrhoeal diseases.
- outbreak investigations.
- surveillance at the molecular level of new and emerging infections.

- development of strategies for delivery of services (DOTS, Integrated disease vector control, rheumatic fever/rheumatic heart disease).
- development of strategies for eradication of poliomyelitis, and elimination of leishmaniasis and filariasis.
- recommended dietary allowances for Indians, nutritional value of Indian foods.
- Vitamin A prophylaxis for children to prevent nutritional blindness; iron and folic acid supplementation for pregnant women.
- provision of technical support on food testing and safety issues to regulatory authorities in India.
- clinical trials for introduction of contraceptives into the National Family Welfare Programme.
- development of nationally appropriate guidelines (for tubal sterilization, assisted reproduction).
- defining the problems of blindness, hearing impairment, cancer and mental illness and haemoglobinopathies.
- providing the basis for formulation of new programs for non-communicable diseases (cancer, blindness, deafness, mental health).

Policies and Guidelines

The ICMR drafted the country's first National Health Research Policy. By enunciating the Intellectual Property Rights Policy the Council hopes to provide appropriate technological, professional and legal expertise and services to file patents in India and abroad. In addition to the Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research on Human Subjects, the Council has formulated National Guidelines for Stem Cell Research and Therapy, Guidelines for Genetically Modified Foods, Guidelines for Biomedical and Behavioural Research in HIV/AIDS, and Guidelines for management of Type-II diabetes etc. National Guidelines for Accreditation, Supervision and Regulation of Assisted Reproductive Techniques Clinics in India are helping to promote measures for setting

up of an independent body through legislation for accreditation, regulation and supervision of infertility clinics in India. Guidelines and regulations for international collaborative research including transfer of biological material also have been formulated.

Knowledge management

Publications

In a time of huge growth in the volume and complexity of scientific information, the Council was quick to realize that knowledge management is a highly valued commodity. The generation, dissemination and use of knowledge form the basis of remedies for all diseases. The monthly Indian Journal of Medical Research (IJMR) is its torch bearer and ICMR Bulletin its house-journal. The IJMR has a new face and an improved get-up. Special issues on topics of high relevance to India have been published including Streptococcus and Streptococcal Research, Tuberculosis Research, and HIV/AIDS. The journal is available in full text, free on-line as an open access publication. Several other publications in the form of reports/monographs and documents (like Reviews on Indian Medicinal Plants, Cancer Atlas etc.) are regularly released that provide comprehensive topical information. By setting up a Bio-informatics Centre at its Headquarters to provide access to published and unpublished information and data-bases the Council is trying to ensure equity of access to knowledge.

Intellectual Property

To encourage the generation of new Intellectual Property and participate in the development of products and processes useful to national health programmes, the Council formulated and adopted an Intellectual Property Rights Policy in 2002. The Council has taken steps to sensitize its scientists on issues of WTO, IPR *etc.* Scientists are being made aware of the need to identify new inventions that have potential commercial values and how they could be protected through the various IP protection systems before publication. A series of steps to help the scientists with this process such as the New Invention Report System, Material Transfer Agreement, Confidentiality Agreement *etc.* were drafted and widely circulated in the Council.

Branding and Image building

The ICMR and its research institutions are recognized as centres of excellence that address major health issues and conduct medical research relevant to the needs of the country. The Council has a special status as a preferred partner for biomedical research in this country. In addition, the Council enjoys special relationships with international research and funding agencies as the most favoured partner in India. This has led to the development of sustained linkages with national and international research organizations. The ICMR and its Institutions have been engaged in documentation of research findings not only in peer reviewed journals but also in the form of monographs and other documents (eg. Quality Standards of Indian Medicinal Plants and Health Effects of Toxic Gas Leak at Bhopal). These documents are the authentic source of information as well as national/international guidelines. The quality and inclusion of several aspects of research and human resource development has led to the recognition of several of the ICMR Institutes as Centres of Excellence, nationally and internationally (EVRC-WHO; TRC-NIH). Several of the ICMR institutions are WHO Collaborating Centres for Research and Training on different diseases and their control.

ICMR and its scientists provide expert advice to national and global organizations (WHO, WHO/TDR, WHO-SEARO, NVBDCP, NACO etc.). The ICMR has been engaged in communicating research findings to the media and public through exhibitions conducted in the Institutions and taking part in National exhibitions organized by other bodies (National Science Congress, Swadeshi Vigyan Mela, Vigyan Rail etc.).

Scientists at the ICMR have won some of the most prestigious awards in biomedical sciences. During recent years 24 coveted awards were bagged by ICMR scientists which include Dr.Yellapragada Subba Row Memorial Lecture Award, B.C.Guha Memorial Lecture Award, Glaxo Oration Award, Shanti Swarup Bhatnagar Prize of CSIR, Dr.B.C.Roy National Award.

Public Private Partnership (PPP)

Public Private Partnership is comparatively a new field in which the ICMR has become active. PPP has emerged as a major facilitating mechanism to bridge the gap to access and equity in health. Some of the examples of recent initiatives taken in this direction are:

- TDR-Asta Medical, Germany: for evaluation of Miltefosine (an oral kala-azar drug)
- IAVI-Therion, USA: for development of HIV vaccine
- WHO-Smithkline Beecham: for use of albendazole co-administration with DEC as elimination tool for filariasis
- DNDi: ICMR is one of the founding partners for the PPP initiative for development of drugs for neglected diseases
- TRC-ACT, Chennai: for involvement of private practitioners for treatment of tuberculosis

With the lessons learnt and with experience gained it is proposed to expand this approach further and build new partnerships with the burgeoning private sector in India, as well as externally.

Linkages with manufacturing industry

The Council encourages the commercial exploitation of its research products through licensing as well as promotion of knowledge/technology transfer. Its Institutes have transferred technologies for commercialization to Indian industry through transferring agencies such as the National Research Development Corporation and Biotechnology Consortium India Limited. Some recent examples of are:

- Cyclosporin, an immunosuppressive drug, has been transferred to a Lucknow based company, Nixil Pharmaceuticals Ltd. US, European and Indian Patents have been granted on this invention.
- Thrombinase is a thrombolytic enzyme potentially useful for the treatment of stroke, myocardial infarction, deep vein thrombosis and in the prevention of post surgical adhesion. This product development technology has been transferred to Malladi Research Centre, Chennai. US and Indian Patents have been granted on this technology.
- A biological product from the *Bacillus thuringiensis* which has mosquito larvicidal property. Commercialization is being done by Tuticorin Alkali, Chennai.

- MAC Elisa kit for diagnosing Japanese Encephalitis, West Nile fever and Dengue has been transferred to Zydus Pathline Ltd. after entering bipartite agreement with BCIL, New Delhi.
- A diagnostic kit for detection of Hepatitis A has been developed and transferred to BBIL, Hyderabad through BCIL, New Delhi.
- MRC-5N Vero Cell Culture adapted for Indian isolate of Hepatitis A has been transferred to BBIL, Hyderabad for preparation of Hepatitis A vaccine. A Material Transfer Agreement has been made between BBIL, Hyderabad and NIV, Pune through BCIL, New Delhi.
- An Indian Patent has been filed for diagnostic assay for rotavirus. BBIL, Hyderabad has shown interest in this technology.
- Fertility assessment kit has been developed by NIRRH, Mumbai to estimate reproductive hormones such as estrone glucuronide, pregnanediol glucuronide, leutinizing and follicular stimulating hormone. A bipartite agreement has been made between BCIL, New Delhi and NIRRH, Mumbai for transferring this diagnostic technology for reproductive hormones to Zydus Cadila, Ahmedabad.
- MVA based HIV clade C candidate vaccine for Indian strains of HIV infection is at clinical trial stage. For the development and commercialization of HIV vaccine in India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of India, Indian Council of Medical Research and International AIDS Vaccine Initiative (IAVI) have entered into an agreement. The technology will be transferred from the Therion Biologics USA to a vaccine company in India for manufacturing the MVA based HIV clade C vaccine.
- Duraphet, a fluoride containing dental varnish has been developed through extramural project funded to Shriram Institute for Industrial Research, New Delhi. The technology has been transferred to M/s IPCA Health Product Pvt. Ltd. through NRDC, New Delhi.

Benchmarking and review of performance

The ICMR has an elaborate process of review of performance and achievement.

1. A 5-yearly assessment scheme is in operation for individual scientists
2. Scientific activities are reviewed at two levels:
 - i) at Headquarters
 - Governing Body
 - Scientific Advisory Board
 - Scientific Advisory Groups
 - ii) at Institutes - Scientific Advisory Committee

Publications

The number of scientific papers published in indexed journals has increased (from 186 JCI/JCR papers in 2002 to 268 in 2003) and so has the average impact factor. The average impact factor of Council's publications has shown an encouraging trend 1.456 (2000); 1.661 (2001); and 2.03 (2002), 2.18 (2003) and 2.326 (2004). The average impact factor of some of the Institutes touches 5.0 for 2003-04. This is an eloquent testimony of the standard and quality of the research being carried out by the Institutes of the ICMR.

Patents

Despite its social obligations the ICMR has been able to file 39 patents including 11 foreign patents during 1996-2004.

External cash flow

Unlike other scientific organizations like CSIR, the ICMR has not been an industry oriented agency and has not been expected to generate its own resources. ICMR has not earned much from the patents filed up to now. The Council proposes to step up external cash flow through partnerships with industry for development of drugs, diagnostics, vaccines, encouraging patenting of processes and products,

and sharing of equipment and facilities on time and cost basis. During 2003-04, funds generated for projects to be completed over the next several years from external sources was almost equal to the funding it received from the Ministry of Health. This is perhaps the only organization which has generated this quantum of research funds as compared to its own budget.

Observations of PAB

The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) is the apex body in India for the planning, formulation, coordination, implementation and promotion of medical research. Intramural research carried out through a network of research institutes, regional medical research centres and field stations provides support to the national health programmes. The permanent Institutes are mission-oriented laboratories located in different parts of India and address themselves to research on specific health topics. Apart from research, the Institutes are actively engaged in human resource development programmes. The Regional Medical Research Centres focus especially on the regional health problems, and also aim to strengthen or generate research capabilities in different geographic areas of the country. Extramural research is promoted by ICMR basically to strengthen the biomedical expertise outside the Council, especially in medical colleges and the University system. Human resource development in biomedical research is promoted through several long and short term training programmes and fellowship schemes. The Council has been able to respond to the changing health needs of the country, not to mention its effective response in times of medical emergencies by exploiting its extensive network and its technical expertise. In addition, the Council also takes part in surveillance activities. The achievements of ICMR are commendable and its contribution to public health is significant. The relevance of ICMR is beyond doubt.

In the context of the changing public health scenario, the balancing of research efforts between competing fields, especially as resources are severely limited, is a typical problem encountered in the management of medical research. It is important to continually prioritize the activities of the ICMR and redesign its portfolio as appropriate. This prioritization needs to be examined both at the level of the organization (ICMR) as

well as at individual institutional levels. Such an exercise should naturally take into account the adequacy of infrastructure of the organization, the institutes, the current level of activity and the future needs.

The ICMR for the first time has formulated a National Health Research Policy. This is a great step forward. The ICMR as the apex health research organization in the country should continue to shoulder the responsibility of formulating National Health Research Policy and constantly revise and link the same with National Health Policy. The Council has stepped into frontier research (such as stem cell research) either utilizing existing resources (by training or redeployment) or making new investments through extramural projects (such as genomics research).

The Board would like to commend the ICMR for its research efforts in the area of HIV infection and Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS). The ICMR, in addition to initiating the National Sentinel Surveillance Programme in the late 1980's (this has now been handed over to the Ministry), has initiated a variety of programmes both extramural and intramural in the newly established National AIDS Research Institute as well as in other institutes. Of particular notice is the ICMR's involvement in the development and field trials of HIV vaccines. It must be mentioned that the highest ethical standards are being maintained in this area.

The ICMR has demonstrated leadership and has clearly proven its abilities in setting the research agenda in fields such as HIV research. In order to sustain its leadership and ensure impact in critical areas the Council should undertake large scale mission projects akin to those seen in other sectors such as agriculture, atomic energy and aerospace. These mission projects need to be identified with care taking into account ICMR's core competencies, its comparative advantages, the strength of its national and international linkages and sustainability. It is likely that partnerships may be established in some areas (HIV research) and these may be fully exploited. On the other hand in some rapidly emerging areas the Council may need to proceed on its own to establish and nurture new research initiatives. Planning and conduct of missions should be driven by a national core team and will also involve all key institutes and other partners. The Institutes and Regional Medical

Research Centres could provide necessary support for the successful conduct of the missions, including providing high-level participation, relevant technical input, depending on project needs and funding. The composition of the mission teams will ensure a skills mix that is appropriate to the project context, drawn from the Council and relevant partners, the latter identified in full consultation at the time of setting up the missions.

In addition, ICMR has assumed the role of setting standards and setting up regulatory processes to facilitate research in these emerging areas. Undoubtedly, these are areas that have enormous potential in upstream research and need to be exploited utilizing both the vast geographic network and the pool of talent available within the organization. In order to gainfully exploit these emerging areas in a sustainable fashion the Council will need to develop a road map for its activities with a 5 or 10 year profile that takes into account synergies with other sectors. This road map should be developed in the context of the changing environment of globalization and liberalization and recognizing the potential of ICMR to make significant contributions in these areas. Several of the Institutes of ICMR are engaged in research which are also national health concerns like the research focus of NIN and Government's Mission on Nutrition Security. No effort should be spared by ICMR to position itself to be the prime mover and contributor to the Government's programme. The development of these avenues should maintain the balance that ICMR has in its product-mix. For chronic, degenerative and life-style diseases, the cost benefit ratio of investing in preventive strategies is higher as compared to treatment strategies (even in developed countries, it would be more so in low resource settings). It would make more economic sense to invest in research on preventive tools. The Council should ensure that there is sufficient emphasis on prevention research even though its benefits may not be immediately perceived. Treatment based approaches should be considered where preventive approaches are not available. Technology development should undoubtedly continue. In developing this strategic plan the Council should use illustrative examples of cost benefit analyses to highlight the impact of ICMR's presence.

ICMR should ensure that the products of its research translate into new policies that are utilized by the local research institutes, hospitals and health centres according to the local needs and circumstances.

The Council should strengthen its efforts to derive better estimates of the burden of diseases and of the main risk factors associated with major illnesses. The Council should also evolve a mechanism for monitoring of the application of the policies and develop indicators of impact on the improvement of people's health.

The Board recommends two initiatives which should improve the efficacy of ICMR. These are in response to two concerns expressed by several scientists outside the ICMR. One pertains to the considerable delays in reviewing and funding extramural research applications. The Board is of the opinion that ICMR should set up an efficient and transparent mechanism for review of applications and their approval. It should be possible for Principal Investigators to follow the progress of their applications through a secured on-line access. The second was with regard to the non-integration of the current strategies for developing and testing vaccines. In addition to the National Health Research Forum which we are recommending we feel it is necessary to have a seamless coordination in this area between ICMR and other agencies involved in vaccine research like the DBT.

The Council should continue to further expand the application of the Combined Approach Matrix developed by the Global Forum for Health Research to define the priorities, strategies and resource allocation based on saving the maximum number of healthy life-years for the given human and financial resources invested in the health research programme. There is also a need to develop cost-effectiveness analysis in each ICMR Institute (measurement of the likely number of healthy life-years saved for a given health expenditure). The Council should consider the gradual introduction of the "logical framework methodology" (also called logframe) to facilitate the systematic linkage of the vision/mission/mandate to the ultimate delivery of research results in terms of improvement in people's health.

The ICMR should be modernized and restructured if it is to be at the forefront of health research. The infrastructure needs of the ICMR should be examined in the context of modernization and up-gradation, both at the organizational level as well as the level of the Institutes. To achieve this it is necessary to develop a modernization plan that assesses the current infrastructure and outlines the future needs of the organization. This should be resonant with the projected activities of the organization over the next decade and also take into

account what needs are likely to be into the future. This will often require skills, expertise, and resources which may not readily be available within the Council. This reorganization will require developing policy documents, and procedures for implementing the Restructuring Plan. All this would take time. To cater for the needs of the immediate future a modernization package should be developed for accessing a one-time grant. This should address both the infrastructure and human resource requirements.

The benchmarking and evaluation of performance of public funded organizations in areas such as health research should be different from those institutions which are in the areas of pure science research and development. Medical research conducted by public funded organizations is ultimately used for improving public health and should be assessed in terms of its influence on and ability to change public health policy. ICMR's performance indicators must reflect its societal roles and obligations as a public funded research organization. The traditional method of assessing research output and impact is centered around a value system that places emphasis on publications and patents. Current trend to judge performance are often based on input indicators such as infrastructure development and HRD development, and these have to be modified for the Indian context. While these indicators may have value in assessing science output it may not truly reflect the impact of the research carried out by an organization in the health system, where organizations like ICMR enable improvements in individual health status and play a substantial social role. Redefining the evaluation criteria will also help to further define the character of the organization.

Budget and Finances

The financial support for a research organization is a critical rate limiting factor in its research output. In fact governance, management, human resources and finance are the four pillars on which the success of any organization depends. The PAB therefore, feels that it is appropriate to review the current fund flow and give our views on the funds required to ensure that ICMR meets its mandate and that our observations on the scientific work can be appropriately implemented.

Only since 1998-99 has the budget of the ICMR shown an upward trend. From Rs.61.0 crores it has risen to Rs.231.0 crores in 2005-06.

The allocation made in 2005-06 is compared to that 1998-99 under various head in the following table:

(Rupees in crores)

Heads	1998-99	2005-06
Salaries	14.849	32.830
Pension	4.699	9.770
Consumables	13.629	43.100
Travel	0.965	3.280
Equipments	6.045	8.940
Capital Works	10.228	85.370
Extramural Research Programme	10.583	47.710
Total	60.998	231.000

Unlike in 1998-99 when the Council surrendered Rs.1.24 crores as unspent funds at the end of the financial year, it has utilized every rupee allocated to it, and even more what it was offered at the revised estimate stage. This reflects the improved current utilization and absorption capacity of the Council.

The funding for medical research in the country continues to be abysmally low in comparison to population size, disease burden, and scientific opportunity. Though the annual budget of ICMR has increased several folds since the first review in 1968 (from Rs.13.85 crores to Rs.230.0 crores), so have the health problems and the cost of research. India should be spending a great deal more on medical research if it hopes to even touch the fringes of medical problems which face the country. The PAB recommends that as prescribed in the National Health Policy, the Government must live up to its commitment of increasing the funds for medical research to 1 % of its health expenditure by 2005 and 2% by 2010, which must also increase commensurate with the needs of the nation to improve health and reduce disparities in health between rural and urban and richer and poorer segments of Indian society.

Medical research is an interdisciplinary, multi-agency effort involving the government, academic institutions, and the private sector, and requiring progress in many diverse fields of science. Medical research competes annually with other worthy domestic spending priorities for its share of our National budget. Medical research is the responsibility of the National Government, and one in which the government is uniquely positioned to take the lead. The ICMR is entirely funded by the Govt. of India through Ministry of Health. Due to several reasons, the funding of ICMR depends on health budget, which itself is meager in the National budget. The current level of funding for health research is grossly inadequate. The funds available are about one third of the demand for the 10th Plan period (Rs.970 crores as against Rs.2500 crores). The Board observed that the ICMR had prepared an EFC for the entire Council for the 10th Plan for the first time and this has been approved. This is an important achievement. However, despite this approval, no new posts have been sanctioned. Further, the Board noted that substantial expenditure of non-plan nature is being met out of plan funds. The ICMR and its Institutes have demonstrated their ability to attract funds from the government and other funding agencies, both in India and abroad. While a part of this increase in funding may reflect the increased allocation of resources as part of a general exercise, it is clear that aggressive campaigning and showcasing by the ICMR leadership is, to a large extent, responsible for the current financial inflows. In addition, the Council has demonstrated abilities to expend the allocated finances in a timely fashion reflective of good project management practices. However, the Council needs to consider large infusions of funds to undertake large scale expansion and embark on mission-mode projects.

The increased budget of the ICMR since 1998 has been effectively used for the purchase of new equipment for many Institutes, construction of urgently needed buildings, enhanced amounts for consumables and as seed money for developing extramural grants. All of this is reflected in the improving impact factor of the ICMR scientific publications. However, to bring the entire organization to a level acceptable for 2005, more funds are needed so that all Institutes can be well equipped. Buildings have to be constructed for several Institutes (MRC, EVRC, IIH). This will also enable many extramural projects,

which have already been technically approved scientifically to be funded.

New proposals like setting up National Animal Resource Facility for Biomedical Research, Genome Valley at Hyderabad etc. would also need large amounts of funds. Some of jointly funded Indo-Foreign projects could not be taken up for want of funds for the Indian component of the study. The ICMR would also like to increase the number of international fellowship being currently offered.

The rise in the budget since 1998-99 has been modest, it has helped ICMR to bounce back, but it needs considerably more funds to ready itself to meet future challenges. It needs to equip itself to fully exploit the advances made in modern biology like genomics, micro-array, stem cell, proteomics, pharmacogenomics, computation biology, combinatorial chemistry etc. To meet the challenge of new and emerging diseases, a network of 3-4 Bio-safety level 3 laboratories need to be set in various regions of the country, and at least one Bio-safety level-4 lab is urgently needed. For the majority of these, planning has been completed, and the infrastructure would be in place, if funds are made available.

The financial support to ICMR should to be enhanced since its current allocations are dependent on the national health budget. Ideally, spending on health research should be at least 2% of the total health expenditure. Currently it is much less than 1%. Thus there is an enormous scope for demanding and accessing large scale increase in funding. However, this demand for new funds should be seen in the context of the ability of the ICMR to absorb these infusions and convert them into tangible products with measurable deliverables. It is also important to link this to ICMR's restructuring plans and proposed linkages in the future. The ICMR has demonstrated ability to attract foreign funding, which is another indicator of the quality of ICMR research. While Institutes attracting external resources for conducting research should exercise caution that they do not deviate from their own mandate by the influence of donors' priorities, the ICMR should now examine where leverage can be brought in for attracting more foreign funding relevant to Indian research priorities.

The Board calculated that based on rough estimates 2% of present national health expenditures would amount to Rs.2200 crores or US\$ 440 million while 2% of the estimated minimum total health expenditures would amount to US\$ 660 million. Ideally the annual budget of the ICMR should be Rs.2200 crores. For the 11th Plan period a minimum of Rs.5000 crores should be allocated to the ICMR.

ICMR could attract substantially larger funding from external sources if it increases its capacity to (a) present project proposals based on economic analysis and (b) convince foreign aid donors that it can manage these investments efficiently and effectively.

There should be no delay in the transfer of funds from ICMR Headquarters to Institutes for approved projects, within agreed upon budgetary levels. This can be achieved by transferring authority for the decision making and responsibility for financial expenditure of budget to the Institute level.

TOR No. 2

To critically examine the response of the ICMR to the previous Reviews

The Council has taken appropriate actions on most the recommendations made by the Reviewing Committee, 1984 with Prof B Ramamurthy as Chairman. The details are at Annexure-9.

However, the situation noted by the first Reviewing Committee of ICMR, 1964 and the subsequent review has not changed with respect to its autonomy of functioning. It is noted that an important reason why medical research is lagging behind as compared to other science and technology agencies continues to be that ICMR is not truly autonomous in its decision making mechanisms.

Secondly, the observation of the Committee in 1964 that India should be spending a great deal more on medical research if it hopes even to touch the fringes of the medical problems which face the country, is also valid today as the funding for the ICMR continues to be very meager as observed earlier.

Observations of PAB

The operational efficiency of a research organization is greatly enhanced by autonomous functioning. The ICMR was registered in 1949 under the Societies Act XXI of 1860. It is an autonomous organization within the Ministry of Health (MOH), with the Health Minister Government of India as its President and Secretary Ministry of Health as the Vice-President. The Director-General, ICMR is the principal executive officer of the Society. It is governed by the rules and regulations of Government of India applied *mutatis mutandis*. Though having an autonomous/Society model of governance, the Council is considered a subordinate office of the Ministry of Health. The Board recognized that the present positioning of the ICMR within the MOH poses challenges to its ability to function as an autonomous research organization. A restructuring of the governance pattern will allow it to function more efficiently and be responsive to the changing health needs of the country. The restructured organization would still need to stay within the ambit of the MOH since the MOH is dependent on ICMR's technical input. The Board strongly recommends a new model of governance. As is being considered already by the Government, the creation of a Department of Medical Research within the Ministry of Health, with the DG ICMR as its Secretary, should be immediately implemented. This would help the ICMR to present, defend, and secure its own budget; take decisions on spending its budget; move memoranda for Standing Finance Committees and Expenditure Finance Committees for clearances quickly; have interaction with international agencies and countries in a pro-active fashion; work on important initiatives like private public partnerships, research and development and project development as is required in current scenario; make its own projections and views in a time bound fashion reflecting scientific temperament of other science and technology organizations; improve its functioning and have its DG interact independently with the Ministers, civil society and policy leaders of the country. This would no doubt increase the autonomy level from the present setting.

However, in the current hierarchical scheme of things even this may not provide ICMR the desired space for functioning. Further essential changes in governance would be to reorganize on the pattern of a Commission (along the lines of Space and Atomic Energy) in view of the

critical role biomedical research plays in human development. The advantage of a Commission approach would be that it would speed up the decision making processes since the members of the Commission are empowered to take decisions on policy and financial matters. The composition of this commission could be on the lines of similar commissions. Consultative groups who have no voting rights consisting of other stake holders (like the State Governments) could also be formed. The constitution of the Commission is likely to send a very clear signal to biomedical research community (both public and private) regarding the importance the Government is placing on medical research. This would also boost ICMR's brand image.

The ICMR functions through a network of 26 Institutes and their field stations spread across the length and breadth of the country with their diverse mandates and spheres of activity. The Board also appreciated that the Council has been taking steps at effective linkages between these, although this requires further strengthening. A major concern is the distribution of the resources and activities over a wide geographical area covering almost the entire country. Many of these institutes were established to address issues considered relevant at the time of their creation. Over the years due to a multiplicity of factors many of these institutions and Regional Medical Research Centres have developed overlapping roles. This has led, at times, to duplication of efforts and wastage of resources. The Board recognizes that the existing institutions cannot be dismantled for a variety of reasons but dispersal of activities is not conducive for good management. The restructuring plan should review the workings of multiple institutes with their diverse mandates and spheres of activity. The Council should explore the establishment of an alternate model that would allow a cohesive approach to solving health based problems. The Council could perhaps consider bringing together Institutes that have similar priorities and expertise in a virtual manner to promote tighter management. The Council should take a visionary approach and restructure to make virtual structures that could capture the multidimensionality of the individual Institutes. This approach would have several advantages. Such a structure would allow for free exchange of ideas and resources. In addition, such a networking would allow elimination of redundancies in technical and administrative

resources. The appointment of project directors to head the missions would also help in better functioning.

TOR No. 5

To review ICMR's strategies for Human Resource Capital Development (research capacity development) in terms of Resource allocation, Opportunities, performance appraisal, Recruitment and retention and Career promotion opportunities.

Human Resource Development

The ICMR's Human Resource Development (HRD) plan is focused on formulating policies, procedures, and partnerships to ensure the scientific competitiveness of ICMR. Skilled and talented people are undoubtedly the most important resource for the delivery of high quality science and its translation for the public's health. That is why training and career development are central to ICMR's mission. The ICMR is an equal opportunity employer and practices affirmative action.

Human resource development in biomedical research is encouraged by ICMR through various schemes such as

- a. Research Fellowships i.e. Junior and Senior Fellowships and Research Associateships in Extramural Research Programme. A similar programme was also available for Institute Fellowships.
- b. Short-term Visiting Fellowships (which allow scientists to learn advanced research techniques from other well-established research institutes in India).
- c. Short-term Research Studentships (for undergraduate medical students to encourage them to familiarize themselves with research methodologies and techniques).
- d. Various Training Programmes and Workshops conducted by ICMR Institutes and Headquarters.
- e. ICMR International Fellowships for young and senior biomedical scientists as well as for scientists coming from developing countries.

The Council also awards prizes to Indian scientists (young as well as established scientists), in recognition of significant contributions to biomedical research.

In addition, regular refresher and reorientation courses are offered for technical, administrative and finance staff. The staffs of the Institutes have been trained and are able to take up Good Clinical Practices (GCP) and Good Laboratory Practices (GLP) compliant studies. Recently, the Council has also started a MD-Ph.D programme. It provides financial support for electronic copy of MD thesis in priority areas of research. Various in-service training programmes for scientists and related officials are also being carried out through its institutes. Many ICMR Institutes have been accredited for Ph.D programme of Indian Universities. Some of the important courses are:

Regular courses: Super specialization (Doctorate in Medicine- DM in Haematology); Post-graduate courses: 2 year Masters course in Applied Epidemiology (FETP); M.Sc. (Applied Nutrition; Virology); Diplomate of National Board (Pathology); Diplomas (Entomology, Occupational health); Certificate course (Nutrition).

Short term courses in nutrition, virology, animal sciences, epidemiology, transfusion medicine, vector control, occupational health, entomology, genetics, and ethics.

For retired medical scientists and teachers, the Council offers the position of Emeritus Scientists to enable them to continue or take up research on specific biomedical topics.

Capacity building through extramural research programme

From 1985-86 to 2004-05 the ICMR has funded over 4900 new projects including 1400 task force projects, 1800 *ad-hoc* projects, 30 Centres for Advanced Research projects and 1600 Fellowships. Majority of these projects have been funded in teaching institutions including medical colleges. The Council has been one of the very few funding agencies which have provided grant-in-aid to medical colleges - the cradle of future researchers. Through this strategy ICMR has strived to put in place a sustainable health research system. During 2004-05, about 1050 extramural projects were ongoing, at a cost of Rs.33 crores. Half the funds went to research institutes, and about one third to medical colleges

and universities and a few to health care institutions. 540 Fellowships were awarded; 376 technical, 312 scientific staff were trained.

Recruitment policy

Recruitment Rules have been framed for all scientific, administrative and technical cadres. All lateral entry by direct recruitment is filled through open advertisement in leading national daily newspapers and Employment News. For Director level position, nominations are also asked from member of Governing Body, SAB members and Indian Missions abroad. All vacancy notices are also posted on the web-site of ICMR.

Promotional policy

Scientists: Five yearly assessment scheme is in vogue

Technical staff: Promotional scheme on pattern of DRDO has been prepared and is under consideration by Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.

Administrative Staff: There is no system of assessment. Vacancy-based promotions are effected on superannuation, promotion or resignation.

Observations of PAB

A key component of the modernization and restructuring process would be the augmentation of human resources. The Council carries a heavy and disproportionate burden of technical and administrative staff. Current recruitment policies of the Government of India preclude staffing changes that will be conducive to the conduct of research at the cutting edge of science. A recent internal estimate suggested the need for creating at least 500 new scientific positions in the organization. The ICMR must reexamine its staff requirement in the context of the programmatic framework. The Council should evolve a recruitment policy to attract and retain the right calibre of staff to meet ICMR's evolving needs. The Council should aim to employ highly qualified scientific staff to enable it to achieve its objectives and deliver outstanding results. The Council should while primarily considering qualifications, knowledge, skills and personal qualities also evaluate the capacity to adapt and evolve over the longer term.

The scientific career opportunities in ICMR should be made more attractive, not only for current employees but also for scientists outside the organization in India and abroad, and be competitive with the academic and private sectors. The Council should also consider the short-term need for flexibility and internal mobility without losing sight of possible long-term requirements for organizational change and the development of career potential. It may be necessary to restructure the compensation package offered to scientists to very generous levels by adopting an aggressive approach. At the minimum the pay structure should be on par with those of other S&T organizations in the country such as the CSIR and DBT, including positions at Scientist G and H levels. Positions of appropriate numbers of posts at the extraordinary scientists and distinguished scientists level should be created. Recognizing the societal obligations and the key role played by the organization in promoting health and the compromises that medical professionals may have to make to serve the organization the wage compensation could be much higher on the lines offered to ISRO and DEAE scientists. Similarly, the career opportunities and compensation packages of technical staff also should be re-examined.

Fellowships in ICMR Institutes, which were suspended some years ago, should be revived, revitalized and liberalized. In this programme the ICMR provides funds for appointing a given number of SRFs and RAs in its Institutes. These Fellows could be mentored by senior scientists. As happens in other international research agencies, like the NIH, those who do good work could compete for regular positions as and when they are advertised. This would give an opportunity to hire appropriate candidates, as finding suitable candidates presently through advertisements often result in no candidate being found suitable. The current inordinate delays in recruitment of scientific staff, due to administrative inertia and red tape must be removed.

Human resource development is one of the most powerful, cost effective and sustainable means of advancing health research. There should be an organized and focused effort towards formulation of a long term comprehensive human resource development policy and plan to address wide range of related issues.

A key principle that should guide the restructuring process would be decentralization. Recognizing that mere assignment of responsibilities without authority is not effective, the Council should aim to delegate

powers to the periphery with suitable empowerment of the peripheral structures. In this spirit, a number of decision making powers should be delegated to the Directors of the Institutes (or decision making process simplified). Some suggested areas include simplifications of the system of clearances, recruitment of the field of staff, purchase of equipment, condemnation of scientific equipment, foreign travel, renovations, control of extramural funds, outsourcing of pension payments, control of capital works expenditures (replaced by ex-post internal audit).

For almost twenty years, the ICMR has had a ban on creation of new positions which is continuing. Only openings available have been on superannuation or resignation of staff. It has not been possible to address cutting-edge areas of modern science adequately. Retraining and re-deployment has helped but cannot be adequate to the need. Consequently several Institutes of ICMR are sub-critically staffed. Some Institutes have a single digit number of scientific personnel. It is only because of a few key scientists that some of the centres are afloat, and if they leave the centres would collapse. There are Institutes (like DMRC, Jodhpur; CRME, Madurai) which do not have a Director's post. There are Technical Divisions at Headquarters (like Division of Reproductive Health & Nutrition) which do not have Sr. Deputy Director General position. In absence of promotional avenues some scientists at Director level are stagnating at highest level of basic pay for several years. Similarly promotional avenues for technical staff are limited. There is also an acute shortage of trained and capable administrative staff at the Institutes, while there is a large number available at the Headquarters office. A strategy should be developed for transfer of some staff to the Institutes. Need for well-qualified staff in modern management techniques cannot be over emphasized. Currently administrative staffs are approximately 36% of the total staff of the Council. While streamlining administrative procedures and introducing modern management techniques linked to optimal use of information technology, the aim should be to reduce administrative staff to 15-20% of total strength with a corresponding increase in scientific and technical personnel. In addition to improving skills of the existing staff, provision for lateral entry in the administrative and financial cadre is imperative. There is thus an urgent need for creation of new positions at the ICMR and adoption of a quick hiring process. The objective of the Policy should be to ensure the conduct of quality and relevant health research by recruiting, training, managing and retaining a sufficient number of

health research personnel based on identified priority areas of research needs and within sustainable resources.

The human resources capacity for health research is a measure of the country's capacity and capability to effectively address existing and emerging health concerns of the country. The ICMR should further strengthen its efforts to bridge the existing gap in the availability of trained human resource in health research, not only within India but also for the South Asia region and beyond. It is important to select appropriate analytical methods that would best identify current and future needs. The policy goals should be laid down clearly in the order of priority. The strategies that will support their realizations should be identified. The Board has made specific recommendations on HRD based on the above observations.

TOR No. 6

To review the relationships between ICMR and other agencies (Central/State Governments, academia, Science and Technology and health research organization)

ICMR is the only major health research agency in India. It conducts and supports a very wide spectrum of research activities spanning basic, applied and field research. It supports health research which is not supported by any other agency. Health science is increasingly becoming more complex and multidisciplinary. There is an increasing need for organizations to pool resources and expertise which adds value to the endeavors of each of the partners. The Council enters partnerships on the basis of assessed needs of the jointly agreed initiatives while respecting the autonomy of individual partners and ensuring sustainability of such partnerships.

Networking with Academia and other R&D organizations/industry

a) Academic Institutions:

- For Academic activities the ICMR has developed networking with various Universities for PhD in several disciplines.
- ICMR has academic linkages with several Medical colleges under extramural research programme (in fact all HRRCs are located in medical colleges) as well as for HRD.

- There are fruitful international Academic linkages, for example with NIH, CDC, Erasmus University, Netherlands; Oxford University and Imperial College London.

b) Other R&D Organizations:

- The ICMR has linkages with other National Scientific Organizations such as Department of Science & Technology; Department of Biotechnology; Defense Research Development Organization; National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi; International Centre of Genetic-Engineering and Biotechnology, New Delhi; National Research Development Council, New Delhi for research/training / product development activities.
- The ICMR has collaborative research projects undertaken with the National Health Programmes under the DGHS.

Observations of PAB

India has developed a unique blend of medical research institutions, from the ICMR, major teaching hospitals, and independent medical research institutes to a range of smaller centres. It also includes other S&T organizations such as the DST and DBT. ICMR has been the primary force in medical research and many of the S&T organizations have fostered the development of research institutes within their framework. Today research is increasingly separated from teaching and health care. Research is an investment for the nation and the future, but in contemporary funding climates within universities and hospitals it is increasingly becoming an optional extra, undertaken only when researchers are able to attract sufficient external resources. Removing barriers to collaboration will ensure development of broad linkages with other players in the field and allow sharing of resources. Research to deal with global health problems will necessarily be multidisciplinary, involving biomedical, clinical, public health and health services research, and include the social sciences, information sciences and engineering, physics, chemistry, ecology and environmental sciences and economics. The Board recognizing the key role played by ICMR in promoting health research in medical colleges and the potential it has for improving it in health care facilities in private sector, urges the ICMR to explore

innovative approaches to enhance research awareness and promote research done in these sectors. As the budget of ICMR increases, and the Institutes are more adequately supported, an increasing proportion of budget should be devoted to extramural research in the medical colleges and universities, as these institutions develop greater capacity to conduct research. In fact, such funding can be an instrument for development of research capacity, increase the number of physicians embarking on medical research careers, and insure that research is conducted in priority areas for the nation, defined by the ICMR itself. This is further elaborated under TOR No. 8.

The roles of ICMR relative to other agencies such as DBT and DST in the field of health research should be clearly defined. The Board is of the opinion that in order to develop a National Health Research Plan, to optimize resource allocation and to avoid unnecessary duplication and wastage, the activities of the ICMR and other Government departments involved in Health Research should be coordinated. A National Health Research Management Forum involving the highest decision making levels should be constituted. A recommendation in this regard is given later.

TOR No. 7

To review international collaborations, benefits accrued and contributions made

The ICMR coordinates the processing, implementing and monitoring of biomedical research programmes carried out under the auspices of either bilateral agreements between India and countries such as USA, France, Germany, Canada, Mozambique, Russia and other CIS countries (formerly the USSR), or as assistance from international agencies such as the WHO, Ford Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, NIH, World AIDS Foundation (WAF), UNDP, IDRC, etc. Very recently a major south-south collaboration Memorandum of Understanding has been signed between the MRC (South Africa), FIOCRUZ (Brazil) and the ICMR to work together on health issues of mutual importance.

All proposals received from various national laboratories in India for foreign collaborative projects are subjected to a rigorous peer review

process followed by appropriate clearances from the Government of India. These programmes are intended to facilitate and promote biomedical research in areas of mutual interest to India and other countries/ international agencies, with transfer of technology being one of the prime objectives. The facilities available and the modalities of operation vary according to the country or agency concerned. Other Departments/Agencies of the Government of India also deal with similar programmes, foremost among them being the DST, DBT, CSIR, etc. The ICMR offers consultation to other organizations and interacts with agencies for the approval of proposals dealing with biomedical research for implementation.

Observations of PAB

As globalization increases, international collaborative research will also increase. The Council has played an important role in defining the limits of collaborative research and ensuring that such research is conducted in an ethically acceptable manner. Currently the Council is concentrating on bringing expertise and resources through foreign collaborations ultimately raising the standards of research to international levels. International collaborative health research must extend beyond clinical trials. The current limited approach does not allow ICMR to fully exploit the benefits of international collaborative efforts that include the sharing of international health experiences. The current mandate of the ICMR also limits it from carrying out research in foreign soil where it can utilize its expertise on common diseases. Also, the ICMR should expand its role as a nodal agency that formalizes the agenda for collaboration in the field of health research. The present mechanisms for clearance should be streamlined to offer a response time of 6-8 months.

TOR No. 8

To review the balance between intramural and extramural research programme, and between upstream and downstream research

In the context of the changing public health scenario, the balancing of research efforts between competing fields, especially as resources are severely limited, is a typical problem encountered in the management

of medical research in India as it is in most countries. Infectious diseases, malnutrition and excessive population growth have continued to constitute the three major priorities to be addressed in medical research throughout the past several decades. Communicable and non-communicable diseases and their impact on the socio-economic development process are receiving priority attention now. In addition to tackling these issues, research has also been intensified progressively on emerging health problems such as cardiovascular diseases, metabolic disorders (including diabetes mellitus), neurological disorders, blindness, liver diseases, cancer, mental health etc. Research on traditional medicine/herbal remedies was revived with a disease-oriented approach. Research areas pertaining to the control of micronutrient malnutrition, the problem of low birth weight and its effect on human health on a long-term basis are major priority areas.

ICMR has been successful in striking a balance between upstream research, which is basic as well as mission-oriented, and downstream research which is applied and operational for the successful application of research findings. Both are necessary for improving health. Downstream research requires the identification of well-tested knowledge in relevant areas of health and the processes to facilitate the application of that knowledge for adoption in health sector and policy development. Applied research should address adequately the issues related to sectoral convergence by partnership development between the community, health service providers and the Government. The Council should determine the balance between upstream and translational research based upon its mandate and its networking with other agencies. While its emphasis should be on carrying out translational and operational research it should also undertake research in areas where upstream research is critical and no other agencies have the capabilities to promote such research.

With an increase in overall funding of ICMR, there can be greater partnership with the medical colleges and universities through an invigorated programme of extramural research funding and research capacity building through fellowships and other training endeavours. Six major Technical Divisions are supporting the extramural research programme of the ICMR. Over a period of time the number of disciplines being handled by each of the Divisions has increased without

a commensurate increase in the resources (human and financial) and space. Encouraging, supporting and guiding of health research in Medical Colleges, Universities and other non-ICMR Institutes is critical for ICMR to develop its constituency. Inculcating a culture of research within medical colleges is critical for growth of health research in India.

Observations of PAB

The Council should consider increasing extramural research funding (30% at present) to optimal level, approximately 50% of its budget, in order to enlarge the circle of medical colleges and universities benefiting from ICMR funding and committed to health research. This should be done without compromising the intramural research programme. It should ensure that extramural and intramural research is linked efficiently and effectively. A healthy relationship between ICMR and the Medical Colleges, universities, and other non-ICMR research institutes involving training and research collaborations can also enhance the career structure for young Indian scientists and help in the retention of such individuals within the country. To promote this goal the Council should establish a new Division that oversees extramural research through an autonomous decision making process separated from the intramural research decision making, run by professional cadre of scientist-administrators who understand both the relevant science itself and the ICMR processes that support such science.

TOR No. 9

To review the relationship between Headquarters and Institutes

The Headquarters office is expected to provide leadership to the Institutes and act like the nerve centre. It lays down policies, guidelines, and the broad framework within which the intra and extramural research programmes operate. The Headquarters has a facilitating role and helps to build bridges and networks with other agencies within and outside the country.

Observations of PAB

The PAB found that while Institute Directors were in general happy with the scientific support that they received from Headquarters, there were also some concerns. It was apparent that while delegation of

authority to the Institutes had improved, the present pattern of functioning it still is not consonant with modern management practices and remained overly centralized and bureaucratic. In addition, it was clear that while the science and the scientists of ICMR are utilizing the powers of information technology increasingly well and the Council continues to invest substantial resources in this area of great, the administration was not similarly using modern computer based systems but still relies on handwritten notes to route files and exchange information. This has led to considerable delays in decision making. In addition, poor coordination, improper delegation of power, and a lack of clearly demarcated functions contribute significantly to these delays. It was also surprising that while the Institute Directors apparently have financial powers for procurement of equipments up to Rs.25 lakhs, in practice everything still has to be cleared at Headquarters. The PAB unanimously felt that an effective and functioning strategy of administrative and financial decentralization is essential for the scientists to function optimally. Such a strategy can have built-in controls through budgeting, defining levels and post-facto auditing. In fact, the internal audit set up in ICMR (for Institutes and Headquarters) should be independent of the financial or other administrators and directly accountable to the DG ICMR. The internal audit staff should be well trained and skilled in modern methods of audit. It is also essential that the management strategies should be consonant with modern practices and the power of information technology should be fully harnessed to make the management effective and fully responsive to scientists. It must be part of human resource development that skills up gradation are available to both scientists and administrators and professionalism in administration is fostered.

The Board reviewed the structure and functions of the ICMR Headquarters. Among the various functions of the Headquarters formulation of policies and programmes, preparation of annual and five year plans, coordination with national and international agencies, dealing with the governmental system in respect of inputs to other Ministries, departments and agencies, responding to parliamentary requirements, overall planning of manpower and other types of infrastructural resources, as well as implementing some of the projects and programmes are some of the highlights. The board is of the opinion that the present strength of the Headquarters is on the high side. The

Headquarters should function, by and large, as a staff support to the Director General of ICMR. The Headquarters should also undertake futuristic studies as part of developing vision for ICMR with a 10 to 20 years perspective. Another critical capacity for Headquarters should be the conduct of cost-benefit analysis and other econometric studies which are of relevance to assess the impact of various activities in socio-economic terms. Specialists in these areas should be recruited to the Headquarters staff. The dangers of conducting research from Headquarters are that Headquarters may lose focus on its role as nerve-centre of ICMR and may lead to conflict of interest with the various Institutes of ICMR. To the extent possible, this should be avoided. Further, there should be a policy for rotating the research management staff of Headquarters amongst the various Technical Divisions within the Headquarters system. Also, it would be a healthy practice to periodically rejuvenate Headquarters by inducting medium and lower level scientific staff from the Institutes and similarly move some of the Headquarters staff to the various Institutes. In the opinion of the Board, a reasonable strength of the scientific staff of the Headquarters could be around 100, but this number will surely increase as extramural research is increased with a requirement for an enlarged extramural research administrative staff. A suitable structure could be evolved that would enable smooth functioning of the total ICMR system by interfacing certain cadre of the scientific community at Headquarters with the bureaucratic set up at the level of Additional Secretary, Joint Secretary etc. This would bring in a better scientific analysis of the needs of ICMR through the scientific cadre of the Headquarters with due participation from the bureaucracy. The Board recommends looking at such systems which are already in place in departments like Space.

The board recognized that the RMRCs are playing an important role in setting the research agenda in the communities they work in. They are important Institutions that have carried out translational research under difficult conditions. In the context of a matrix approach the permanent institutes would provide the vertical disease based approach while the RMRC's could effectively provide the horizontal translational platform. By converting them into full fledged institutes the Council will realize the full potential of these centres to address key research issues relevant in their geographical locations.

TOR No. 11*To address long term standing issues of ICMR*

The PAB recognized that there were several issues which are considered long-term and unsolved in the ICMR and which adversely affect the morale of the staff and the smooth management of the agency. These issues listed by ICMR administration are:

(a) Recruitment policy

- There are about half a dozen projects started in extramural mode about 15-20 years ago which are still continuing in view of the importance and relevance of their nature of their activity. They have been extended on year-to-year basis. Insecurity of job and uncertainty about future are the main concerns of the staff. These projects have contributed immensely in their own spheres of work. Efforts of ICMR in converting the activities of these projects and the staff as permanent employees have not been successful.
- The Indian Council of Medical Research has not been able to get any new posts for almost a decade due to the ban on their creation. Institutional creativity depends on the ability to recruit new young scientists with fresh ideas, and ICMR has only limited ability to do so. Rapid developments in modern biology have provided hosts of opportunities, but the Council has not been able to exploit them to the fullest for lack of human resources. Many of the frontier areas of biomedical sciences could not be adequately attended to. As a consequence of constrained recruitment, the average age of ICMR scientists is advancing (now between 45 and 50) and the grooming of the next generation of leaders is being compromised. Therefore, creation of additional of posts is very critical.

b) Rewards and recognition

- Unlike the scientific staff there is no scheme for assessment and promotion of the technical staff. A scheme was formulated first on the pattern of the CSIR and later on DRDO. It is still under consideration of the Ministry of Health. This delay is

causing considerable amount of discontent and low morale among the staff.

- The scientific staff has a 5-yearly assessment scheme. All become eligible for assessment after having put in 5 years of service in a given position. There is no provision for fast track promotion for deserving scientists. There is no incentive to work harder, and this discourages scientists.
- Senior scientists who have reached the maximum of their scale of pay are stagnating.

Observations of PAB

The Board discussed these issues at length with the administration and staff and has made appropriate recommendations which should be implemented expeditiously.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations

1. A new pattern of governance for Health Research in India is unanimously and strongly recommended to provide necessary functional autonomy to the ICMR. This envisages a Commission on Health Research, a Department of Medical Research within the Ministry of Health and an ICMR which carries out the research functions of the Department. The suggested structure is similar to the governance of some of the high performing scientific departments of the Government of India.
 - 1.1 Health Research in India should be governed by a Commission on Health Research, patterned on the Departments of Space, and Atomic Energy with the Minister of Health as Chairperson, the Secretary Department of Health Research as the Vice-Chairperson. The members should include the Cabinet Secretary, Finance Secretary, Member (Health) of Planning Commission and two eminent Indian scientists. The powers of this Commission would include, defining health research policy, approval of the long term vision, the 5-year proposals, annual plans, budget, policy of international and public-private partnerships and others.
 - 1.2 The Board strongly recommends that the currently initiated administrative actions to create the Department of Medical Research within the Ministry of Health with DG, ICMR as its Secretary, should be implemented immediately. The Department should most appropriately be called Department of Health Research. In the view of the Board, the constitution of the Commission is equally urgent and thus needs immediate attention concomitant with creation of the Department itself.
 - 1.3 The ICMR would be the body charged with the responsibility of executing the research policy approved by the Commission.

2. A impact of health research on health and human development is limited by the small budget being provided to ICMR. Considerable evidence suggests an optimum level for research is at least 2 % of the total health expenditure of the country. Recognizing that current expenditure on health and health research by the country falls far short of the ideal, the allocation to ICMR for the 11th Plan period (2007-2012) should be at least Rs.5000 crores.
 - 2.1 In the interim, we recommend a doubling of the current annual budget for the financial year 2006-07 and a further doubling in 2007-08 reaching Rs.1000 crores by 2008-09.
 - 2.2 It is necessary to increase ICMR's capacity to develop project proposals based on economic and social analysis. Appropriate expertise for this type of analyses should be inducted.
 - 2.3 ICMR should be able to attract substantially larger funding from external sources nationally and internationally.
 - 2.4 The proposed improvements in the governance and management together with the infusion of additional financial resources by the Government would help to convince external agencies that it can manage these funds efficiently and effectively.
3. It is essential to reorganize the management structure both at Headquarters and in the Institutes to overcome current inefficiencies. The management in the proposed Department of Health and its functional arm, ICMR, should be responsive to and supportive of research and scientific demands. At the same time it should ensure firm coordination among the institutes, be efficient and cost effective.
 - 3.1 The power of modern management and information technology should be adopted to ensure that the management strategies of ICMR are complementary and supportive of the high quality of science in ICMR.
 - 3.2 Currently administrative personnel constitute nearly 35% of all ICMR staff. With application of modern

management techniques including information technology, this could be reduced to about 15-20%, with corresponding increase in scientific/ technical personnel.

- 3.3 The Headquarters and all Institutes should be networked for administrative procedures so that much of the administrative delays, which were an expressed concern at most of the Institutes visited, can be eliminated.
 - 3.4 Financial powers of Headquarters should be decentralized and Institute Directors provided with greater responsibility for decision making within defined expenditure limits, subject to the evaluation of process ex-post internal audit.
 - 3.5 Training in financial and management techniques for all appropriate levels of staff, especially the scientists.
 - 3.6 An operational and management review of ICMR by a well qualified agency, which should include one or two scientists, who have experience in health research management, is essential for the restructuring to be completed.
 - 3.7 Currently the authority to recognise health care establishments as health research institutions rests with DSIR. Recognising the pivotal role of ICMR in health research, it should be empowered to grant such accreditation.
 - 3.8 In order to answer a felt need expressed by several extramural scientists the ICMR should immediately implement a web-based facility with a view to enhance transparency and efficiency in extramural research programme. This would also allow Principal Investigators to follow the progress of their applications from the point of receipt to final disposal.
4. A clearly enunciated human resource development policy and plan is essential to enable the ICMR to take its role to fruition.
 - 4.1 After reviewing the staff structure of ICMR the Board recommends that there should be no further additions to the administrative cadre, at least for the next 5 years. Any post

that falls vacant due to resignation or retirement should be converted into scientific / technical posts.

- 4.2 In line with restructuring the administration, outsourcing of a variety of functions should be considered where these would contribute to the efficiency of operation and would not inappropriately separate the administrative and scientific functions of ICMR.
- 4.3 In the technical cadre retraining and up gradation of skills of technicians should lead to more highly skilled personnel in group C and reduction in group D staff.
- 4.4 Transferability across India should be uniformly applicable to appropriate categories of staff of ICMR.
- 4.5 Promotional avenues should be available to all scientists up to level G and H. The flexible complementation should be applicable up to Grade H (as in the Departments of Space and Atomic Energy). Promotion to grade G and H will be strictly on basis of objectively defined criteria of merit and not by efflux of time.
- 4.6 Directors of Institutes / Senior Deputy Director Generals at Headquarters should be at the grade at least of scientist H.
- 4.7 Evaluation for scientists in grade H including Directors / Senior Deputy Director Generals should allow them to be placed as Extraordinary Scientists (10% of scientist H) and Distinguished Scientists (4 posts).
- 4.8 There should be provision for lateral recruitment at all levels of scientific staffing. This includes the direct recruitment of eminent senior scientists into categories G and H.
- 4.9 The salary and other emoluments of scientific staff should be at least on par with that of CSIR, DAE and ISRO scientists
- 4.10 The existing provision of assessing staff of ICMR on attaining 50 years based on objective criteria should be effectively enforced.

- 4.11 Directors of the ICMR Institutes / Senior Deputy Director Generals at Headquarters should be appointed for a five year term. There should be a peer evaluation of performance based on defined objective criteria at the end of the term. On the basis of the review, and at the discretion of the DG. ICMR a second term of five years may be offered.
 - 4.12 Clearly documented criteria and plan for the fast track promotion of deserving scientists should be in place.
 - 4.13 Appointment terms should attract expatriate Indian scientists to return to India.
 - 4.14 “One-is-to-one” equivalence of research experience and teaching experience is essential for free exchange between academic and research communities. The necessary bodies may be approached to effect this.
 - 4.15 Strategic work force planning in line with changing responsibilities should be done at Institute level.
 - 4.16 A scheme of assessment and promotion for technical staff, similar to that of CSIR / DRDO presently pending with the Ministry of Health should be approved and implemented immediately.
 - 4.17 Scientific and technical staff on long duration projects who have put in 10 years or more of service and possess the appropriate entry criteria for the grade, should be absorbed, if they satisfactorily clear an objective performance evaluation. Posts necessary for implementing this should be immediately created.
 - 4.18 Provisions for health care should be uniformly applicable to all staff and retirees, as prevalent for the Central Health Services and CSIR.
5. The available human resources are inadequate to carry out the ICMR’s current mission, not to mention undertaking newer initiatives. The freeze on recruitment should be immediately removed and the ICMR be enabled to recruit up to 500 scientists in the next 5 years which should be linked to a clear induction plan.

- 5.1 Clear criteria should be established for the induction of additional scientific staff that will become available every year.
 - 5.2 In allocating these new posts priority should be given to new facilities and Institutes that are being established, to Institutes where critical mass of scientist do not exist and in Institutes where a significant number of senior staff will retire in the near future.
 - 5.3 Of the new posts up to one sixth should be kept vacant and earmarked for appointments which are deemed critical for meeting exigencies and emergent needs. The vacant posts in this category should be carried forward indefinitely and a minimum of such vacant posts should be maintained annually.
 - 5.4 A pool of ten vacancies at level of RO and SRO should be available annually for *ad-hoc* appointment to meet a given exigency in extraordinary circumstances. Such appointments shall be on an yearly basis extendable only for a maximum period of 3 years. Ordinarily these *ad-hoc* appointees could compete with others when regular vacancies are advertised.
6. Modernization of the physical plant and infrastructure is necessary at most of the Institutes and at the Headquarters. Modernization of the headquarters' physical plant should be linked to re-structuring of the finance and administration. We recommend that an external agency should undertake an in-depth review of the current structure and future needs. A Committee with external members should be formed to assist the DG in planning and executing the restructuring. This Committee would recommend changes in the physical plant necessary to ensure efficiency of the headquarters. There are several Institutes which are functioning in rented buildings with inadequate space that require permanent structures. In others, the buildings are old and require renovation. Equipment modernization and up-gradation should continue even though much has been done in the last 5-7 years. An immediate one time modernization package (including posts) of Rs.300 crores should be made available to ICMR.

7. A medium term (5 years) and a long term (10 years) plan for health research should be developed for the entire country in consultation with all governmental agencies and others who provide funds for health research. A National Health Research Management Forum (NHRMF) chaired by the Minister of Health, with DG, ICMR as Secretary, Secretaries of Health, DST, DBT, CSIR, AYUSH, Director General of Health Services, one representative each of private sector and industry, and at least three eminent health scientists of the country as members should be established. The NHRMF should formulate a medium and long term plan, for health research in the country, in consultation with the other stakeholders. The NHRMF will also ensure inter-agency coordination and elimination of wasteful duplication in health research.
8. Medium and long term strategic plans specifically for ICMR should be developed integrating similar plans for each of the Institutes as well as extramural activities. This would be necessary to ensure that the products of ICMR research be translated into new policies which are utilized by the local research institutes, hospitals and health centres according to the local needs and circumstances. Secondly this would help to evolve a mechanism for monitoring of the application of the policies. The strategic plans should be dynamic and research priorities should be revised periodically to address the changing health concerns in India, to develop cost-effectiveness analysis at headquarters and in each ICMR Institute and to continue to further expand the application of the Combined Approach matrix to define the priorities, strategies and resource allocation.
 - 8.1 A mission mode approach should be used for channelizing resources for maximizing the impact of research. This approach would also be crucial to link institutions which are widely dispersed geographically and avoid duplication of efforts and ensure synergies.
 - 8.2 Ensure that a balance is struck between extramural and intramural research, upstream and downstream research, between treatment and prevention research and that they are linked efficiently and effectively.

- 8.3 The Board recommends the creation of a Division of Extramural Research to manage the extramural grants program and proactively provide training for researchers at medical colleges and research institutes to improve their competitiveness. Funds for extramural research should be significantly increased.
 - 8.4 Innovative approaches should be formulated and implemented to influence and foster the culture of medical research in undergraduate and post-graduate Medical students, Medical Colleges and Universities.
 - 8.5 The ICMR should also be responsible to inculcate a culture of health research in the large health care facilities in the private and corporate sector. This would also be a function of the new Extramural Division.
9. Further development in national and international networking is essential to maximize synergies and develop efficient ways in improving the health care system
- 9.1 The synergies between ICMR and other national health research funding bodies is critical for the optimal utilization of limited resources in the country and can be achieved by the National Health Research Management Forum (see Recommendation 7)
 - 9.2 Within the ICMR system linkages between scientific groups at different locations working in similar areas of expertise would strengthen partnerships and maximize utilization of resources. These horizontal links could be critical to responding rapidly in times of emergencies.
 - 9.3 ICMR's links with Universities, Medical Colleges, and health care facilities in both public and private sectors, should be strengthened by an expanded Extramural Research programme and an Extramural Research Division.
 - 9.4 Mechanisms should be developed to improve the involvement of State Health Ministries as partners of ICMR.

- 9.5 International collaborations based on equal partnerships, with mutual technology transfer where appropriate, should be encouraged and fostered.
 - 9.6 ICMR should steer international collaborative health research to ensure that the country derives maximum benefit and global goals are attained.
 - 9.7 The mechanisms of clearance of international collaborative research projects should be streamlined to ensure that a decision is available within 6–8 months of submission of application.
 - 9.8 It is time that ICMR considers the possibility of extending resources and expertise to help other developing countries in their research efforts.
10. ICMR should develop and adopt appropriate performance indicators essential to capture the societal impact of its research activities. The increasing international scientific impact factor of ICMR is only one of the ways in which the effectiveness of the organization can be judged.
 - 10.1 The operational impact of results of the ICMR initiated research such as the DOTS programme, the national immunization days and others should be documented and quantified as a critical assessment factor. Cost benefit analysis of these and similar research findings and also of some of the Institutes should be commissioned through a competent external agency as part of the continuing assessment of ICMR.
 - 10.2 The Scientific Advisory Committee of each Institute, as well as the Scientific Advisory Board and the Scientific Advisory Groups of the Divisions at Headquarters, while reviewing and approving the research programme should also identify measurable indicators of end-user impact, in short and medium time frames. Such indicators, especially when linked to health indicators, would be of immense value.
 11. The Board identified several Institute / region-specific issues and recommends:

- 11.1 A BSL-4 facility with an anticipated budget of Rs.50 crores should be built at the Microbial Containment Complex, Pune. Appropriate risk allowances should be available for staff working in BSL complexes.
- 11.2 There should be a review of the National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad by an independent committee to maximize the impact of their research to strengthen national nutrition initiatives.
- 11.3 The National Institute of Epidemiology (NIE) should be further strengthened with additional resources to enable it to undertake health systems research and to estimate burden of disease in India.
- 11.4 The Board endorses the proposal for the establishment of the ICMR School of Public Health, based in NIE to build on the existing Masters in Applied Epidemiology programme, using the expertise available at other ICMR Institutes also.
- 11.5 Incentives should be provided to staff working in the North East region of the country.
- 11.6 Strengthen the existing Regional Medical Research Centres to deal with regional health problems using modern technologies and to serve as nodal centres for networking.

ANNEXURES

No.V.25011/27/2004-R
Government of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Research Desk)

Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
Dated the 9th March, 2005

To,
Dr. N.K.Ganguly,
Director General,
Indian Council of Medical Research,
Ansari Nagar,
New Dehli.

Sub: Evaluation/Review of Indian Council of Medical Research – reg.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to your D.O. No. 6/5/2004-Admn. II dated 9.9.2004 on the above cited subject and to say that Hon'ble Minister for Health & FW has approved this following constitution of the Committee to evaluate the functioning of ICMR.

1. Prof. Kasturi Rangan, Former Secretary, Department of Space & Chairman, ISRO **Chairman**
2. Prof. Asis Datta, National Centre for Plant Genome Research, New Delhi. **Member**
3. Dr.G.Padmanabhan, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, **Member**
4. Dr. Gerald T.Keusch, School of Public Health, Boston University, Boston. **Member**
5. Dr. Louis Currat, Swiss Agency for Development and Co-operation, Switzerland. **Member**

Sd/-
(Kamal Bose)
Under Secretary to the Govt.of India

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

Members of Performance Appraisal Board**Members**

1. Prof. K Kasturirangan, (Chairman)
Former Secretary, Department of Space
& Chairman ISRO,
Antariksh Bhawan, New BEL Road
Bangalore-560 094
Tel. 080-23601969
Tel. 080-23415241
Tel. 080-23432475
Fax 080-23415328
e-mail: krangan@nias.iisc.ernet.in
2. Prof. Asis Datta,
Director,
National Centre for Plant Genome Research,
Room No.11 & 12,
Arawali International Guest House,
JNU Campus, Post Box No.10531,
New Delhi-110 067
Tel. 011-39440511
Tel. 011-30942824
Fax 011-26109186
Mob. 9810855666
e-mail: ncpgr02@bol.net.in
3. Prof. G. Padmanabhan,
Department of Biochemistry,
Indian Institute of Science,
Bangalore-560 012
Tel. 080-23601492
080-23942540
e-mail: geeppee@biochem.iisc.ernet.in
4. Dr. Gerald T. Keusch,
Assistant Provost, Medical Campus,
Associate Dean, School of Public Health
Director, Global Health Initiative at
Boston University, 715 Albany St.,
Talbot 443W
Boston, MA 02118
USA
Tel. 001617-638-5234
001617-638-4687
Mob. 001617-78404031
Fax 001617-638-4476
e-mail: keusch@bu.edu
5. Mr. Louis Currat,
Former Executive Secretary,
Global Forum for Health Research
318 Route de Lausanne
1293 Bellevue, Geneva
Switzerland
Tel. and Fax
0041 22 774 47 40
Mob. 0041 79 770 09 59
e-mail: curratl@who.int

No.V.25011/27/2004-R
Government of India
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
(Research Desk)

Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
Dated the 26th July, 2005

To,

Director General,
Indian Council of Medical Research,
Ansari Nagar,
New Delhi.

Attn: Mrs. Ambujam Nair Kapoor, DDG

Subject: Peer Review of ICMR formulation of terms of Reference.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to your letter No.6/5/2004-Admn.II dated 24.6.05 on the above cited subject and to convey the approval of this Ministry for the terms of reference communicated by you for the peer review of ICMR to be got done through the designated committee.

It is requested that urgent action may be taken to complete the peer review at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
(P.R.A. Nair)
Under Secretary to the Govt.of India

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

Terms of Reference for Performance Appraisal Board

1. To appraise the performance of the ICMR's intramural and extramural research programmes in light of the vision/mission/mandate, resources (human resource, infrastructure, and financial) and review mechanisms to review whether ICMR as an Institution is relevant and performing optimally in relation to its core functions
2. To critically examine the response of the ICMR to the previous Reviews.
3. To assess how far successful has the ICMR (and its Institutes) been in addressing the changing health contexts
4. To examine how ICMR research has contributed to:
 - Knowledge generation and closing the 'knowledge-do' gap
 - Impact of ICMR knowledge on policy formulations and implementations
 - Innovation and intellectual property
 - Information and knowledge management
 - Improving communication – branding and image
 - Fostering partnerships and increasing external funding
5. To review ICMR's strategies for Human Resource Capital Development (research capacity development) in terms of:
 - Resource allocation
 - Opportunities, performance appraisal
 - Recruitment and retention
 - Career promotion opportunities
6. To review the relationships between ICMR and other agencies (Central/ State Govts., academia, Science and Technology and health research organization).
7. To review international collaborations, benefits accrued and contributions made.
8. To review the balance between intramural and extramural research programme, and between upstream and downstream research
9. To review the relationship between Headquarters and Institutes
10. To review how ICMR measures its progress and benchmark itself
11. To address long term standing issues of ICMR

**INDIAN COUNCIL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH
INTRAMURAL RESEARCH PROGRAMME**

**FORMAT FOR APPRAISAL DOCUMENT
5 YEAR PERIOD ENDING MARCH 2004**

1. Vision/Mission/Mandate

2. Brief History

3. Resource Base

3.1. Human Resource

3.1.1. Sanctioned staff in position on 31.03.2004

Group	Scientific Nos.	Technical Nos.	Administrative Nos.	Scientists	
				Designation	Nos.
A				Director	
B				DDG(SG)	
C				DD	
D				AD	
				SRO	
				RO	
Total				Total	

3.1.2. Details of core competencies and expertise

Field of Core Competencies/ expertise	Scientists		Technicians	
	Number	Average Age	Number	Average Age
1				
2				
3				
4				

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

3.1.3. Project Staff from 1999-2000 to 31.03.2004

Group	Scientific Nos.	Technical Nos.	Administrative Nos.	Scientists	
				Designation	Nos.
1999-00				RA	
2000-01				SRF	
2001-02				JRF	
2002-03				Research Scientists	
2003-04					
Total					

3.2. Financial Resource Base And Utilization

3.2.1. ICMR Resource Inputs (Rs. crores, two decimal points only)

Heads/Year	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Pay and allowances					
Capital					
Equipment					
Other Charges (consumables/recurring)					
Travel					
Others					
Total					

3.2.2. ICMR Resource Utilization (Rs. crores, two decimal points only)

Heads/Year	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Pay and allowances					
Capital					
Equipment					
Other Charges (consumables/recurring)					
Travel					
Others					
Total					

3.2.3. External resource generation

(Rs. in crores, two decimal points only)

Heads/Year	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
<i>Total External Cash flow</i>					
1. Projects. (Indian source)					
2. Projects (Foreign source)					
<i>External Resource Generation</i>					
1. Contract R&D					
2. Consultancy					
3. S&T Services					
4. IPR Fees (Royalty & Premia)					
5. Sale of animals					
6. Sale of books					
7. Others (please specify)					
Total					

3.2.4. Details of externally funded projects year-wise
(National & International)

Year	Title of Project	Name of Funding agency	Year initiated received	Duration	Total Funds
1999-00					
2000-01					
2001-02					
2002-03					
2003-04					

a. *Infrastructural Base*

- i) National Facilities (National/International Facilities (e.g. National Referral Centre; Global Referral Centre/ WHO Collaborating Centre/ Centre of Excellence)
- ii) Specialized facilities/equipment that rank among the best five in India

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

Name of Facility, Year of establishment and cost (Rs. crores within two decimal places)
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.

iii) Informatics base

<i>Heads/Year</i>	<i>1999-00</i>	<i>2000-01</i>	<i>2001-02</i>	<i>2002-03</i>	<i>2003-04</i>
Library book holdings (nos.)					
Journals holdings (physical)					
Journals online access (nos.)					
Database (nos.) subscription access					

iv) Outreach base (field stations)

<i>Heads/Year</i>	<i>1999-00</i>	<i>2000-01</i>	<i>2001-02</i>	<i>2002-03</i>	<i>2003-04</i>
Number of Centres					
Total manpower deployed					
Scientists deployed					
Technical staff deployed					
ICMR funds input					

b. R&D Managerial Base

- i) The organogram of the Institute/centre
- ii) Review Mechanism
 - institutional
 - Staff-appraisal
- iii) Human Resource Development (courses organized and number trained in each course)

<i>Name of Course/Year</i>	<i>1999-00</i>	<i>2000-01</i>	<i>2001-02</i>	<i>2002-03</i>	<i>2003-04</i>

- Special Mechanisms for attracting the young
 - Special measures for empowerment and decentralization of decision making
 - Mechanisms for stimulating new ideas and creativity
 - System for organizational learning: capture and sharing
 - Skills upgradation and training
 - System of recognition and rewards for professional excellence.
- iv) Project & Financial Management
- v) Infrastructural Management (as applicable)
- System of laboratory equipment/facilities sharing and management
 - Laboratory safety (practices)
 - Safety practices
 - General Safety (Buildings)
 - Biosafety/Radiological Safety
 - Other safety measures
- vi) External Networking
- Networking with Academia and other R&D organization/industry
 - National Collaborations
 - International Collaborations
- vii) Image Management
- Stakeholders (Central and State government, Parliamentarians, MLAs. etc.)
 - Professional peers
 - Media/Public
 - Annual Reports, Newsletter etc.

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

4. Performance

4.1. Contribution To Knowledge Generation

	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
1.1. Papers					
Total (Numbers)					
In SCI Journals (Numbers)					
Average Impact Factor (IF)/Paper					
Normalized IF/Paper					
1.2. Patents (Nos.)					
Filed (F)					
Granted (G)					
1.3. Other IPR (e.g. design, copyright, trademark)					

- Books/Monograph etc.
- Scientific Publications
- Human Resource Development (Training)

4.2. *Contribution To Enhancing Knowledge*

- Fundamental & strategic
- Brief description
- Novelty/Innovativeness
- Superiority/advantage over similar developments globally
- Level of development

4.3. Contribution to knowledge application (applied research)

- Principal industrial, socio-economic and strategic sectors served
- Sector-wise contribution of the institute giving details
- Assistance in policy-planning and directions setting
- Capacity, Investment
- Process improvement/technology upgradation
- Productivity enhancement (energy and time reduction etc.)
- Specialized development/fabrication/jobwork
- Specialized testing, calibration and certification (statutory or otherwise)
- National standards setting & formulation
- Others

4.4. Contributing knowledge on social and behavioural sciences

- Promote improvement in quality of life (habitat, hygiene, water, nutrition, education, others)
- Help improve the quality of environment (EIA, pollution reduction/mitigation techniques/designs/technology)
- Reduce societal hazard/risks disaster and enhanced safety enhancement
- Skills enhancement in the non-formal sector
- Opening up newer avenues for rural/self decentralized employment (level, man-months, compensation)
- Empowering the disadvantaged sections of society
- Others

4.5. Database (including repositories) developed

Database/software developed, highlight for each :

- Coverage
- Updation frequency & date of latest version
- Beneficiaries & value realization

Annexure-1

4.6. Services rendered in times of national calamities

Annexure-2

4.7. Contribution in translating research into action/policy

4.8. Any other contribution

4.9. Recognition and awards

- Science & Technology professional bodies(e.g. INSA Fellows etc.)
- Associations/Foundations/Trusts (e.g., FICCI award etc.)
- Government (e.g. Padma awards etc.)
- ICMR Awards
- Institutional Awards
- Individual honours/Awards

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

5. **SWOT analysis**

Annexure-5

6. **Five most outstanding contributions of the Institute/Centre**7. **Highlights of newer initiatives taken**

Annexure-6

8. **Major constraints**

- Non-performing, non-useful, obsolete infrastructural resources (give details & possible avenues for their disposal)
- New approaches needed for realizing optimal potential from the existing resource base
- Balancing new resources needed for realizing the optimal value from the resource base.
- Administrative and financial

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

INDIAN COUNCIL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH EXTRAMURAL RESEARCH PROGRAMME

FORMAT FOR APPRAISAL DOCUMENT 5 YEAR PERIOD ENDING MARCH 2004

1. Number of projects supported in respective disciplines (year-wise and in each category of extramural research programme).

<i>Extramural</i>	<i>1999-00</i>	<i>2000-01</i>	<i>2001-02</i>	<i>2002-03</i>	<i>2003-04</i>	<i>Total</i>
Ad hoc						
Task Force						
CAR						
Fellowships						
Total						

CAR : Centre for Advanced Research

2. Extramural Programme : Outcome parameters – human resource development

<i>Extramural</i>	<i>1999-00</i>		<i>2000-01</i>		<i>2001-02</i>		<i>2002-03</i>		<i>2003-04</i>		<i>Total</i>	
	Sc.	Tech.	Sc.	Tech.	Sc.	Tech.	Sc.	Tech.	Sc.	Tech.	Sc.	Tech.
Ad hoc												
Task Force												
CAR												
Fellowship												
Total												

Sc : Scientific Tech : Technical CAR : Centre for Advanced Research

3. Extramural programme : Outcome parameter – 4 'Ps'

Outcome parameters	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total
No. of papers published						
(i) National Journals						
(ii) International Journals						
No. of patents						
(i) Filed						
(ii) Granted						
No. of products developed						
No. of processes developed						

4. Types of institutions supported under extramural research programs

Types of Instt. Funded	1999-00			2000-01			2001-02			2002-03			2003-04			Total		
	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR
Medical colleges																		
Science colleges																		
Universities																		
Research Instts.																		
Others																		

TF : Task Force

CAR : Centre for Advanced Research

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

5. Average total funding and duration of extramural projects :

Types of study	1999-00		2000-01		2001-02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Duration	Amount	Duration	Amount	Duration	Amount	Duration	Amount	Duration	Amount
Ad hoc										
TF										
CAR										

TF : Task Force CAR : Centre for Advanced Research

6. Number of projects undertaken conducted for Ministry of Health & Family Welfare or other agencies as commissioned/contact research projects.

a) Number of projects undertaken for other agencies :

Agency for which project(s) undertaken	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total
Centre : Deptt of Health						
Deptt of Family Welfare						
Others (Pl. Specify)						
State : Deptt. of Health						
Deptt. of F.W.						
Others (Pl. specify)						

b) Amount of funds received from other agencies for undertaking projects for them :

Agency for which project(s) undertaken (specify)	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total

7. Have ICMR Institutes/Centres been also funded as part of extramural research program? How many per year and funds given.

Type of projects given to Instts.	1999-00		2000-01		2001-02		2002-03		2003-04		Total	
	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.
Ad hoc												
Task Force												
Others: (Specify)												
Total												

8. Number of ad-hoc/Task Force projects and CAR completed during five years. Of these, for how many are final reports available and evaluated? How many final reports are awaited? For how many projects have the final accounts not settled yet?

Types of Instt. Funded	1999-00			2000-01			2001-02			2002-03			2003-04			Total		
	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR	Ad hoc	TF	CAR
Project completed																		
Final Reports received																		
Final Reports awaited																		
Final Report evaluated																		
Final accounts not settled																		

TF : Task Force

CAR : Centre for Advanced Research

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

9. With what objectives was the extramural research programme started?
10. What criteria are used for funds allocation to various Technical Divisions?
11. How are priorities set? Among and within disciplines, diseases, and health conditions?
12. Is the current portfolio addressing priority areas?
13. How is it ensured that the extramural programme is steered and not allowed to drift?
14. What is the existing review mechanism and is there a scope for its improvement? Please explain.
15. Once a project is over what relationship is maintained with the Investigator and the Institutions.
16. What is the existing mechanism of utilizing the expertise and infrastructure developed in various non-ICMR Institutions (as a result of extramural funding) to address emergent areas?
17. Are there cost-effective methods of operating extramural research programme?
18. Give five most significant scientific outcome of the extramural research programme during 1999-2004.

INDIAN COUNCIL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH

Meeting of the Performance Appraisal Board

Presentation Schedule

8-10 September, 2005
ICMR Hqrs. Office, New Delhi
Room No.301

8th September, 2005

10.00 – 11.00	Arrival of members and meeting with Director-General, ICMR in his office		
11.00 – 11.30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Welcome and opening statements General remarks Programme for visits to Institutes 	DG, ICMR Prof. Kasturirangan Other members	
11.30 – 13.00	Overview of ICMR by DG, ICMR		
13.00 – 14.00	LUNCH		
14.00 – 14.30	NIRRH	Dr.C.P. Puri	
14.30 – 15.00	NIV & MCC	Dr.A.C. Mishra	
15.00–15.30	TRC	Dr. P.R. Narayanan	
15.30 – 16.00	TEA		
16.00 – 16.30	CJIL	Dr. V.M. Katoch	
16.30 – 17.00	NICED	Dr.S.K. Bhattacharya	
17.00 – 17.30	NARI	Dr.R.S. Paranjape	
17.30 – 18.00	EVRC	Dr. J.M. Deshpande	
18.00 – 18.30	NIN	Dr.B. Sivakumar	
18.30 – 19.00	IIH	Dr.K. Ghosh	

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

9th September, 2005

9.00 – 9.30	NIE	Dr.M.D.Gupte	
9.30 – 10.00	VCRC	Dr. P.K.Das	
10.00 – 10.30	MRC	Dr A.P.Dash	
10.30 – 11.00		TEA	
11.00 – 11.30	CRME	Dr.B.K.Tyagi	
11.30 – 12.00	NIOH	Dr.H.N.Saiyed	
12.00 – 12.30	ICPO	Dr.B.C.Das	
12.30 – 13.00	IOP	Dr.S.Saxena	
13.00 – 14.00		LUNCH	
14.00 – 14.30	IRMS	Dr.A. Pandey	
14.30 – 15.00	RMRI	Dr.Pradip Das	
15.00 – 15.30	Finance/ Administration	Shri P.D.Seth/ Shri Mohinder Singh	
15.30 – 16.00		TEA	
16.00 – 16.30	RMRC, Port Blair	Dr.S.C.Sehgal	
16.30 – 17.00	RMRC, Jabalpur	Dr. Neeru Singh	
17.00 – 17.30	RMRC, Bhubaneswar	Dr.S.K.Kar	
17.30 – 18.00	RMRC, Dibrugarh	Dr.J.Mahanta	
18.00 – 18.30	DMRC, Jodhpur/ RMRC, Belgaum	Dr R.C. Sharma/ Dr.S.D.Kholkute	

10th September, 2005

9.00 – 9.30	NIE	Dr.M.D.Gupte	
9.00 – 9.30	BMS	Dr. V Muthuswamy	
9.30 – 10.00	ECD	Dr. D. Mukherjee	
10.00 – 10.00	IDVC	Dr. A.P. Dash	
10.30 – 11.00		TEA	
11.00 – 11.15	Parasitic Immunology	Dr. Ravindran	
11.15 – 11.30	IHD	Dr. Mukesh Kumar	
11.30 – 12.00	Medical Plant Unit	Dr. A.K.Gupta	
12.00 – 12.30	NCD	Dr. Bela Shah	
12.30 – 13.00	Cancer Registry	Dr. Nanda Kumar	
13.00 – 14.00		LUNCH	
14.00 – 14.15	P&I	Dr. K. Satyanarayana	
14.15 – 14.30	RHN	Dr.Rakesh Mittal/ Dr. Malabika Roy	
14.30 – 14.45	NNMB / HRRC	Dr.G.S.Toteja / Dr.Malabika Roy	
14.45 – 15.00	Socio Behavioural Sciences	Dr.A.S.Kundu	
15.30 – 16.00	TEA		

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9



Members of Appraisal Board interacting with scientists during visits to various Institutions of the ICMR

VISITS MADE**Dr. K Kasturirangan**

1. National Institute of Virology, Pune
2. Microbial Containment Complex, Pune
3. National AIDS Research Institute, Pune
4. Tuberculosis Research Centre, Chennai
5. National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai
6. National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad

Dr. Asis Datta

1. National Institute of Virology, Pune
2. Microbial Containment Complex, Pune
3. National AIDS Research Institute, Pune
4. Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna

Dr. L. Currat

1. Institute for Research in Medical Statistics, New Delhi
2. Institute of Cytology & Preventive Oncology, New Delhi
3. National AIDS Research Institute, Pune
4. Tuberculosis Research Centre, Chennai
5. National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai
6. National Institute of Reproductive Research Health, Mumbai
7. Institute of Immunohaematology, Mumbai
8. Entrovirus Research Centre, Mumbai

Dr. G. Padmanabhan

1. Malaria Research Centre, New Delhi
2. Centre for Research in Medical Entomology, Madurai

Dr. J. Keusch

1. National AIDS Research Institute, Pune
2. Tuberculosis Research Centre, Chennai
3. National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai
4. National Institute of Reproductive Research Health, Mumbai
5. Institute of Immunohaematology, Mumbai
6. Entrovirus Research Centre, Mumbai

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

LIST OF PERSONS MET BY THE PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL BOARD

Ministry of Health and Family Welfare

Dr A Ramadoss

Minister of Health & Family Welfare

Mr. P. Hota,
Secretary,
Ministry of Health & Family
Welfare
Nirman Bhawan,
New Delhi

Smt. Bhavani Thyagarajan
Joint Secretary
Ministry of Health and Family
Welfare
Nirman Bhavan
New Dehli

Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science & Technology

Dr. M.K. Bhan,
Secretary,
Department of Biotechnology,
Block2, 7th Floor, CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road,
New Delhi-110 003

Dean/Principals and Medical Colleges Researchers

Dr.K.K.Talwar,
Director,
Postgraduate Institute of Medical
Education & Research,
Chandigarh-160012.

Prof. Kusum Varma,
Deptt. of Pathology,
All India Institute of Medical
Sciences,
New Delhi-110 029

Prof. A.K. Agarwal,
Dean,
Maulana Azad Medical College,
New Delhi

Prof. N.K. Mehra,
Deptt. of Histocompatibility &
Immunogenetics,
All India Institute of Medical
Sciences,
New Delhi-110 029

Prof. Salhan,
Medical Superintendent,
Safdarjung Hospital,
New Delhi-110 029

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

Prof. Rajendra Prasad,
Rector,
Jawaharlal Nehru University,
Delhi-110 067

Prof Indira Nath
707, Sarvapriya Apartments
Sarvpriya Vihar
New Delhi-110016

Dr S Sriramachari
521, Mandakani Enclave
New Delhi -110019

Prof S D Seth
Chair in Clinical Pharmacology
N-14D, Saket
New Delhi

Lt Gen Raghunath (Rtd)
Sir Dorabjee Tata Institute of
Tropical Medicine
Bangalore

Prof. K. Ramachandran,
Retd. Prof. of Statistics,
No.8, Ground Floor II, Arvind
Apartments,
Visvespuram, Mylapore,
Chennai – 600 004

Dr. Nalini Krishnan,
Director,
Advocacy for Control of
Tuberculosis,
No.5, State Bank Street,
II Floor, Chennai - 600 002

Dr. HN Madhavan,
Director of Research & Prof. of
Microbiology,
Vision Research Foundation,
Sankara Netralaya, Chennai-600 006

Dr. SP Thyagarajan,
Vice-Chancellor,
University of Madras,
Chepauk, Chennai – 600 005

Dr. Suniti Solomon,
Director,
YRG CARE for AIDS Research &
Education
Tidal Park Road,
Taramani, Chennai - 600 113

Dr. Arjun Rajagopalan,
Chief of Medical Services, Dr.
Rangarajan Memorial Hospital,
Sundaram Medical Foundation
Shanthi Colony, IV Avenue,
Annanagar, Chennai 600 040

Dr. Jacob Abraham,
Consultant , Neurosurgeon,
8-A, 15th Avenue,
Harrington Road, Chennai – 31

Dr. Kalpana Balakrishnan,
Prof of Environmental Science,
Sri Ramachandra Medical College,
Porur, Chennai – 600 116

Dr. VR Muraleedharan,
Professor of Economics,
Dept of Humanities and Social
Sciences,
Indian Institute of Technology
(Madras),
Room HSB 351C, HSB Block,
Chennai – 600 036

Dr. S. Rajasekaran,
Deputy Superintendent,
Govt. Hospital of Thoracic Medicine
Tambaram Sanatorium,
Chennai - 600 047

Prof. Satyasekaran,
Prof. of Community Medicine,
Sri Ramachandra Medical College,
Porur, Chennai – 600 116

Prof. N. Kshirsagar
Dean, KEM,
Mumbai

Annexure-1

Chairpersons Scientific Advisory Groups and Committees of ICMR

Epidemiology & Communicable Diseases

Prof. V.I. Mathan,
Chair in Epidemiology,
National Institute of Epidemiology,
Mayor V.R. Ramanathan Road,
Chetput, Chennai-600 031

Medicinal Plants Unit

Prof. S.S. Handa
C/522A, Executive Villa,
Sushant Lok Phase-I,
Gurgaon-122 002

Annexure-2

Non-Communicable Diseases

Prof. L.M. Nath,
E-21, Defence Colony,
New Delhi

Dr. S. Pattanayak,
B-91, Swasthya Vihar,
Delhi-110 092

Annexure-3

Dr. K.B. Sharma,
B-7, Swasthya Vihar,
Delhi-110 092

Annexure-4

Reproductive Health & Nutrition

Dr. Usha Krishna,
Consultant Gynecologist,
New Era Building,
17 N, Patkar Road,
Mumbai-400 007

Annexure-5

ICMR scientists

Headquarters

Prof. N.K. Ganguly,
Director-General,
Indian Council of Medical Research,
New Delhi

Sh. P.D. Seth,
Financial Adviser,
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Annexure-7

Dr. Lalit Kant,
Sr. DDG (Hqrs.)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. Dipali Mukherjee
Chief (ECD)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Annexure-8

Sh. Mohinder Singh
Sr.DDG (Admn.)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. Bela Shah
Sr.DDG (NCD)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Annexure-9

Dr. V. Muthuswamy
Sr.DDG (BMS)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. K. Satyanarayana
Sr.DDG (P&I)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. A.K. Gupta
Chief, (MPU)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. A.S. Kundu
Chief (SBR)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. Rakesh Mittal,
Chief, (RHN)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. Malabika Roy
DDG (RHN)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Dr. Mukesh Kumar
ADG (IHD)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Institutes/Centres

Dr. V.M. Katoch,
Director,
Central JALMA Institute for Leprosy,
Taj Ganj,
Agra-282 001

Dr. H.N. Saiyad,
Director,
National Institute of Occupational
Health,
Meghani Nagar,
Ahmedabad-380 016

Dr. M.D. Gupte,
Director,
National Institute of Epidemiology,
Mayor V.R. Ramanathan
Road, Chetput,
Chennai-600 031

Dr. P.R. Narayanan,
Director,
Tuberculosis Research Centre,
Mayor V.R. Ramanathan Road,
Chetput,
Chennai-600 031

Dr. V. Kumaraswami,
Deputy Director (SG),
Tuberculosis Research Centre,
Mayor V.R. Ramanathan Road,
Chetput,
Chennai-600 031

Dr. B. Sivakumar,
Director,
National Institute of Nutrition,
Jamai-Osmania,
Hyderabad-500 007

Dr. S.K. Bhattacharya,
Director,
National Institute of Cholera &
Enteric Disease,
P-33, CIT Road Scheme XM,
Beliaghata,
Kolkata -700 010

Dr. B.K. Tyagi,
Officer-in-Charge,
Centre for Research in
Medical Entomology,
Post Box No.11, 4, Sarojini Street,
Chinna Chokkikulam,
Madurai-625 002

Dr. C.P. Puri,
Director,
National Institute for Research in
Reproductive Health,
Jehangir Merwanji street,
Parel, Mumbai-400 012

Dr. K. Ghosh,
Director,
Institute of Immunohaematology,
New Multistoreyed Building,
13th Floor, KEM Hospital
Campus, Parel,
Mumbai - 400 012

Dr. J.M. Deshpande,
Director,
Entrovirus Research Centre,
Haffkine Institute, Parel,
Mumbai-400 012

Dr. Arvind Pandey,
Director,
Institute for Research in
Medical Statistics, Ansari Nagar,
New Delhi-110 029

Dr. B.C. Das,
Director,
Institute of Cytology & Preventive
Oncology,
1-7, Sector-39, Post Box No.544,
NOIDA-201 301

Dr. Sunita Saxena,
Director,
Institute of Pathology,
Safdarjung Hospital Campus,
New Delhi-110 029

Dr. A.P. Dash,
Director,
Malaria Research Centre,
22, Sham Nath Marg,
Delhi-110 054

Dr. Pradeep K. Das,
Director,
Rajendra Memorial Research
Institute of Medical Sciences,
Agamkuan,
Patna-800 007

Dr. P.K. Das,
Director,
Vector Control Research Centre,
Medical Complex, Indira Nagar,,
Pondicherry-605 006

Dr. S.P. Pani,
Dy. Director (SG),
Vector Control Research Centre,
Medical Complex, Indira Nagar,,
Pondicherry-605 006

Dr. A.C. Mishra,
Director,
National Institute of Virology,
20-A, Dr. Ambedkar Road,
Pune-411 001

Dr. R.S. Paranjape,
Officer-in-Charge,
National AIDS Research Institute,
Plot No.73, "G" Block, MIDC Bhosari,
Pune-411 026

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

Dr. S.K. Kar,
Director,
Regional Medical Research Centre,
Chandrasekharpur,
Bhubaneswar-751 016

Dr. S.C. Sehgal,
Director,
Regional Medical Research Centre,
Post Bag No.13,
Port Blair-744 101

Dr. J. Mahanta,
Director,
Regional Medical Research Centre,
N.E. Region, Post Box No.105,
Dibrugarh-786 001

Dr. Sanjiva D. Kholkute,
Officer-in-Charge,
Regional Medical Research Centre,
Nehru Nagar,
Belgaum-590 010

Dr. Neeru Singh,
Officer-in-charge
Regional Medical Research
Centre for Tribals,
Nagpur Road
Jabalpur-482 003

Dr. Nandakumar,
National Cancer Registry,
557 Srinivas Nilaya,
New Bel Road, 7th Main,
Dollar Colony,
Bangalore-560 094

Dr. R.C. Sharma,
Officer-in-charge,
Desert Medicine Research Centre,
New Pali Road, Post Box 122,
Jodhpur-342 005.

Dr. G.S. Tooteja,
DDG (RHN)
ICMR Hqrs. Office,
New Delhi

Health/Science Attache of Forign Missions

Dr. Altaf Lal,
Health Attache,
US Embassy in India,
Shantipath, Chankyapuri,
New Delhi-110021.

Dr.Pierre-Andre Lhote
Attache for Science & Technology
French Embassy in India,
2- Aurangzeb Road,
New Delhi-110011.

Ms Marian Schuegraf
Counsellor (S&T),
German Embassy,
6/50-G -Shantipath, Chankyapuri,
New Delhi – 110 021.

**LIST OF DOCUMENTS CONSULTED/REVIEWED BY MEMBERS
OF ICMR PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL BOARD**

1. Applications of Information Technology in ICMR
2. Minutes of the Scientific Advisory Board (2004)
3. Recommendations of the ICMR Governing Body (2005)
4. ICMR Tenth Plan Document 2002 - 2007
5. IPR Policy of ICMR
6. Ethical guidelines for biomedical research on human subjects (2000)
7. National Guidelines for Stem Cell Research and Therapy(ICMR-DBT) (2005)
8. ICMR Guidelines for Management of Type 2 Diabetes (2005)
9. Health & Nutrition Status of Tsunami affected Population Living in the Relief Camps in Andaman & Nicobar Islands – A Rapid Survey
10. Surveillance of Tsunami affected areas of Nellore and Prakasam Districts of Andhra Pradesh
11. Tsunami : ICMR Response
12. Assessment of Burden of Non-Communicable Diseases (2004)
13. National Guideline for Accreditation, Supervision & Regulation of ART Clinics in India (2004)
14. Study on the Current Status Fluorosis and Steps to control Health Risks of Fluorosis in the North-Western Districts of Tamil Nadu
15. Macronutrient Profile of Indian Population (2004)
16. National Facility for Dried Blood Spot (DBS) Technology for Vitamin A Estimation
17. Double Fortified Common Salt(DFS) as a Tool to Control Iodine Deficiency Discords and Iron Deficiency Anaemia – A Report (2005)
18. Development of an Atlas of Cancer in India
19. National Cancer Registry Programme

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

20. Estimation of cost of tobacco related cancers – Report of an ICMR Task Force Study (1990 – 1996)
21. National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau – Prevalence of Micronutrient Deficiencies (2003)
22. National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau –Diet and Nutritional Status of Rural Population (2002)
23. 2004 Research Output of ICMR Institutes (2005)
24. National Center for Primate Breeding and Research: Vision, Challenges and Opportunities
25. National Animal Resource Facility for Biomedical Research
26. Malaria Parasite Bank – A national Repository
27. Virus Repository Catalogue OF National Institute of Virology
28. Indian HIV Repository
29. Final Report of The Investigation of Unusual Illnesses Allegedly Produced By Endosulfan Exposure in Padre Village of Kasargod District (N Kerala) (2002)
30. Guidelines for Sponsored Collaborative R&D (2005, Draft)
31. Health Effects of the Toxic Gas Leak from the Union Carbide Methyl Isocyanate Plant in Bhopal
32. Review on Indian Medicinal Plants (Vols 1-4)
33. Indian Journal of Medical Research Special Issues on Tuberculosis/HIV AIDS / Streptococcus
34. Response to Tsumani (26th Dec. 2004 – 26th June 2005) VCRC, Pondicherry

INDIAN COUNCIL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH

Action Taken Report on Recommendations made by ICMR Review Committee, 1984

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>Actions Taken</i>
2.	Establishment of Integrated Biomedical Research Complex.	Was not pursued because it was felt that the ICMR should have a nation-wide reach.
2.1	SAB may devote greater attention to an overview of research programme in its totality, to ensure a proper balance between various components of research programme and devote more attention to policy formulation.	The SAB now devotes greater time and emphasis on policy issues and to maintain a balance between upstream and downstream research as well between existing and emerging health concerns in the portfolio of ICMR.
2.2	Any existing disparities in the autonomous character of ICMR and CSIR, including those matters relating to the powers of the DG and ex-officio ranking of the DG as Secretary should be removed without delay.	The matter relating to the powers of DG and disparities in the autonomous character of ICMR is being currently considered at the highest level of the Government.
2.3	All national research institutions engaged in biomedical research activities be brought under ICMR for better co-ordination, avoiding duplication of research efforts.	The ICMR has formulated a draft National Health Research Policy which envisages a National Health Research Management Forum wherein all research agencies cutting across Ministries and Sectors identify priority areas of research and co-ordinate with each other. It is proposed that the Secretariat would be at ICMR with the Minister of Health as its Chair, an eminent scientist as co-chair and the Director General, ICMR its Secretary.
2.4	Develop better and more effective co-ordination with other organizations engaged in biomedical research.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directors of institutions of other S&T agencies & State Govt. Representatives participate in SACs of all the Institutes and that of Central Govt. and other

→

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>Actions Taken</i>
		<p>research institutions in meetings at the Headquarters;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICMR is signing MOU with DBT for better coordination; • ICMR is partnering AYUSH and CSIR in the Golden Triangle agreement.
3.	To deal effectively with oncoming problems in the non-communicable disease sector, such as cardiovascular diseases including hypertension, cancer, genetic disorder, environmentally caused toxic syndrome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater emphasis with commensurate resource allocation has been made towards augmenting research on non-communicable diseases; • Several multi-site projects have been initiated addressing epidemiology and intervention modules for RF/RHD, hypertension and risk factors for coronary artery diseases; • Centres for Advanced Research have been started in endocardial fibrosis. • Modules for surveillance for risk factors for NCD have been prepared and piloted for implementation in IDSP; • National diabetes control and management programme formulated; mental health effects of disasters studied; • National Cancer Registry expanded to population based, rural and cause specific; cancer atlas developed; • New approach to clinical neurology and asthma started; • Pesticide related toxin in environment and their health effects are being studied.
4.	Constitute Scientific Advisory Groups in different areas to review both intramural and extramural research programmes.	The Scientific Advisory Groups have been constituted for each of technical division of the Council to review intramural and extramural research programmes.
4.1	Streamline funding of extramural projects:	Streamlined. Guidelines have been prepared for Review of Extramural Research Programmes. The financial ceilings for the projects have now been

Sl. No.	Recommendations	Actions Taken
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small ad hoc projects (< Rs. 1 lakh) be evaluated in consultation with 1-2 experts; • Between Rs.1-5 lakhs: Continue existing near review system; • >Rs.5 lakhs: PI be invited for discussion. 	<p>revised upwards in tune with the current funding levels</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • small ad-hoc projects costing upto 2 lakhs and of duration less than or equal to one year are reviewed in-house by a committee; • Projects upto Rs.30 lakhs – are Peer reviewed and/or reviewed by a Project Review Committee; • Projects costing >Rs.30 lakhs – Reviewed by a High powered Bio-medical Research Committee where PIs are invited for discussion.
4.2	Areas not covered by Task Force approach should also receive attention and support.	<p>Such studies are being supported as <i>ad hoc</i> projects. Over the years the number of projects being funded, the quantum of funds, and the amounts for equipments have seen an increasing trend</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Special programmes also started like the one on genomics, surveillance for anti-biotic resistance, tribal health, funds for projects in the North-East states.
5.	<p>Improve the overall standards of medical education and research, ICMR may offer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial support to candidates willing to spend an extra year for research after post-graduation • Support Post-graduates in medical sciences to pursue Ph.D • ICMR institutes to get affiliated to Universities for Ph.D • Scientists in ICMR be encouraged to acquire Ph.D based on their research work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ICMR provides financial assistance to selected medical graduates pursuing postgraduate courses (MD/MS/DM/MCH); • Also started MD-PhD courses; • Short term research studentship scheme has also been initiated for undergraduate students to provide them with an opportunity to familiarize them with Research methodology; • Several Institutes of ICMR have now been affiliated to Universities and offer degrees, diplomas, and PhDs; • Research Associate Fellowships are available for post-Docs; • ICMR institutes also provide training for a few months in their institutes as

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

→

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>Actions Taken</i>
		<p>part fulfillment of degrees being pursued by them in Universities;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In service Ph D is being encouraged for ICMR employees, by making it a condition for promotions; • A proposal for naming ICMR as deemed University is under preparation.
6.1	More rigorous evaluation of post-training careers of candidates who take training programmes under ICMR.	A data-base of the ICMR employees who have received training and their career graph is available. A new set of data-base is being made for compiling information for non-ICMR scientists.
6.2	Talent Search Scheme be extended also those who have completed post-graduate qualifications and recruit them into ICMR Research Cadre at a higher pay scale.	The Talent Search Scheme has replaced by several short and long term training programmes for research capacity strengthening and new programmes for in-service sponsored candidates have also been started.
6.3	Introduce Research Career Development Awards, on the lines of NIH.	Introduced ICMR International fellowships on the pattern of Fogarty Fellowships (overseas fellowships), six for junior and 3 for senior scientists and 5 for scientists of developing countries coming to India.
6.4	Scientists recruited by ICMR should be given courses in Research Methodology and Scientists already in ICMR should be given courses in Recent Advances in Research methodologies pertinent to biomedical and health research.	This is being done through workshop mode.
7.1	In view of high cost of creating the most up-to-date laboratory facilities, ICMR to establish 1-2 large institutes of biomedical research where sophisticated research be carried out and offer facilities to peripheral institutes.	Since 1997-98 a concerted effort has been made to improve the infrastructure, and the facilities to undertake research in cutting-edge areas of modern biology. The Institutes have been upgraded to state-of-the-art laboratories. Sophisticated equipments have been installed in all institutes.

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>Actions Taken</i>
7.2	ICMR Institutes be able to respond to changing patterns of diseases and adjust their research strategies accordingly.	The ICMR has been live to the changing disease patterns. Establishing the Institute of AIDS through redeployment and retraining of the staff; responding to SARS, and influenza threats, to emerging epidemics of life style diseases, new and emerging infections are some examples how the Council has responded.
8.	To lay emphasis on priorities in research on tribal health, in line with Govt.'s programmes.	Two new Institutes have been established which address to health problems of the tribal populations one at Port Blair and the other at Jabalpur. In addition, each Institute of the Council conducts research on the diseases prevalent among the underprivileged sections of the community and those belonging to scheduled tribes. As part of extramural research programme ear-marked funds are available to undertake research on minority's health issues.
10.1	Step up efforts to establish linkages with Central and State Govt. sister organizations this issue be discussed by the Central Council of Health.	This is an ongoing process, in some states it works better than others. Constant dialogue and exchange of research information has helped to strengthen the bond.
10.2	A special Scientific Advisory Group be established with representatives of State and Central Government to discuss health problems encountered in the States and to speed up relevant studies of these problems and their application.	This issue is taken up in meetings of all SACs and SAGs.
11.	To retain scientists the ICMR may provide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • housing facilities; • scale of pay at par with CSIR; • emoluments of emeritus scientist be increased; • speeds implementation of 5-years assessment scheme. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The employees in Delhi are eligible for general pool accommodation of Central Government. Institutes in other cities have staff quarters presently. There is no problem of housing facilities; • The scale of Pay is at par with CSIR since 1987;

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

→

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Recommendations</i>	<i>Actions Taken</i>
12.	Cautioned that while entering into international bilateral arrangements, the concern of sub-serving national interests and priorities should be constantly kept in mind.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Emoluments of emeritus scientists have since been revised, now a mechanism has been put in place to enhance the emoluments as and when they are increased by CSIR;• 5 yearly assessment scheme for scientists has been in operation since 1984. Since then 20 assessments have taken place. <p>Areas of international collaboration are identified keeping in mind the national health priorities. While approving projects for international collaboration security and sensitivity angle are taken care of.</p>

PROFILE OF MEMBERS OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL BOARD

K Kasturirangan, Chairman



Dr. Krishnaswamy Kasturirangan is presently the Director of the National Institute of Advanced Studies (NIAS) at Bangalore and Member of Parliament (Rajya Sabha). Dr. Kasturirangan has steered the Indian Space programme gloriously for over 9 years as Chairman of the Indian Space Research Organisation, of Space Commission and Secretary to the Government of India in the Department of Space. He was earlier the Director of ISRO Satellite Centre. Dr. Kasturirangan took his Bachelor of Science with Honours and Master of Science degrees in Physics from Bombay University and received his Doctorate Degree in Experimental High Energy Astronomy in 1971 working at the Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad. He has been conferred Padma Shri, Padma Bhushan and Padma Vibhushan.

Louis Currat, Member



Louis Currat is currently Adviser in Health Economics and International Development. He was the first Executive Director of the Global Forum for Health Research, an international Foundation located in the offices of the World Health Organization in Geneva with the objective of helping to correct the 10/90 gap in health research, i.e. the fact that less than 10% of health research in the world is devoted to 90% of the world's health problems. A graduate in economics from the University of Michigan and an MBA from the University of Geneva, he became a research associate at the Center for Research on Economic Development at the University of Michigan before joining the World Bank as an economist between 1970 and 1977. He also served as Director of the Technical Department, at the Swiss Development Cooperation Agency (SDC) in Bern. Responsible for policies in the field of economic development, health, agriculture, environment and education he ensured technical soundness of projects financed by SDC in these sectors in about 40 countries.

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9

Asis Datta, Member

Prof. Asis Datta is the Director, National Centre for Plant Genome Research. He has been Vice-Chancellor of Jawaharlal Nehru University, and Dean, School of Life Sciences, JNU, New Delhi. His field of specialization is molecular biology, genetic engineering and biotechnology. He has occupied a large number of positions in professional bodies notably the General President, Indian Science Congress; General President, Society of Biological Chemists. He is Fellow, Indian National Science Academy, New Delhi; Fellow, Indian Academy of Sciences, Bangalore; Fellow, National Science Academy, Allahabad; Fellow, Third World Academy of Sciences (1998); among positions he has occupied. Dr. Datta is recipients of several prestigious awards including Shanti Swarup Bhatnagar award of CSIR, G.D. Birla Award for Science & Technology, Indira Gandhi Priyadarshini Award, and “Padma Shri” for his contribution in the field of education.

Gerald Keusch, Member

Gerald Keusch is currently Professor of International Health and Medicine and the first Provost and Dean for Global Health at Boston University Medical Campus and School of Public Health. A graduate of Columbia College and Harvard Medical School, trained in internal medicine and infectious diseases, his career spans clinical medicine, teaching, and laboratory and field research on the major health problems of developing countries, for which he has received the Squibb, Finland, and Bristol Awards from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. He is a member of American Society for Clinical Investigation, the Association of American Physicians, and the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies, where he serves on the Board on Global Health. He was Professor of Medicine at Tufts University School of Medicine in Boston, MA and Chief of Geographic Medicine and Infectious Diseases at New England Medical Center from 1979-1998, and Associate Director for International Research and Director of the Fogarty International Center at the National Institutes of Health from 1998-2003.

G Padmanabhan, Member

Prof Govindarajan Padmanaban is currently Honorary Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science. Earlier he was Director, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. He specializes in Biochemistry, Molecular Biology & Malaria. He was INSA-CV Raman Research Professor (1991-1996). He was awarded Visiting Fellowship: Jawaharlal Nehru Birth Centenary (1991); and was recipient of S.S. Bhatnagar Medal (1999). Padma Shri 1991; Padmabhusan 2004; Ambedkar Award of ICMR; Distinguished Biotechnologist of the DBT at Indian Institute of Science, 2002. He is also a Fellow of All Academies of Science in Indian and Third World Academy.

Annexure-1

Annexure-2

Annexure-3

Annexure-4

Annexure-5

Annexure-6

Annexure-7

Annexure-8

Annexure-9